

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: √ Green Meadows (8)
 Organization Name: AIDS Service Coalition
 Project Type: PSH
 Project Identifier: 8

| RATING FACTOR | PERFORMANCE GOAL | PERFORMANCE | POINTS AWARDED | MAX POINT VALUE |
|--|--|-------------|----------------|-----------------|
| PERFORMANCE MEASURES | | | | |
| Length of Stay | | | | |
| Permanent Supportive-Housing | On average, participants are placed in housing 15 days after referral to PSH | 0 days | 20 | out of 20 |
| Exits to Permanent Housing | | | | |
| Permanent Supportive-Housing | 90% remain in or move to PH | 88.4 % | 24 | out of 25 |
| Returns to Homelessness | | | | |
| Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing | ≤ 15% of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to PH | 7 % | 15 | out of 15 |
| New or Increased Income and Earned Income | | | | |
| Earned income for project stayers | 8%+ of participants with new or increased income | 60 % | 2.5 | out of 2.5 |
| Non-employment income for project stayers | 10%+ of participants with new or increased income | 20 % | 2.5 | out of 2.5 |
| Earned income for project leavers | 8%+ of participants with new or increased income | 42.9 % | 2.5 | out of 2.5 |
| Non-employment income for project leavers | 10%+ of participants with new or increased income | 14.3 % | 2.5 | out of 2.5 |
| Performance Measures Subtotal | | | 68.8 | out of 70 |
| SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS | | | | |
| Permanent Supportive-Housing | Assessment score for participants indicates PSH with 95% at highest end of PSH range | 95 | 20 | out of 20 |
| Serve High Need Populations Subtotal | | | 20 | out of 20 |
| PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS | | | | |
| Project has reasonable costs | Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type | Yes | 20 | out of 20 |
| Coordinated Entry Participation | ≥ 95% of entries to project from CE referrals | 36 % | 7 | out of 10 |
| Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation | Commits to applying Housing First model | Yes | 10 | out of 10 |
| Project Effectiveness Subtotal | | | 37.2 | out of 40 |
| OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA | | | | |
| CoC Monitoring Score | Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards | Yes | 10 | out of 10 |
| Other and Local Criteria Subtotal | | | 10 | out of 10 |
| TOTAL SCORE | | | 136 | out of 140 |
| Weighted Rating Score | | | 97 | out of 100 |

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

| | | |
|---|--|------------|
| CoC funding requested | <small>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</small> | \$ 283,689 |
| Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city) | | |
| Amount of private funding | | |
| TOTAL PROJECT COST | | \$ 283,689 |
| CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year | <small>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</small> | \$ - |
| CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year | <small>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</small> | \$ - |
| Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year | | 0% |

