

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: √ BCCAA CoC RRH (3)
 Organization Name: Bolivar County CAA
 Project Type: RRH
 Project Identifier: 3

Print Blank Template

Print Report Card

Renewal/Expansion Projects
 Rating Complete

Met all threshold requirements

100%

[Instructions on Awarding Points](#)

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
PERFORMANCE MEASURES				
Length of Stay				
Rapid Re-Housing	On average, participants are placed in housing 15 days after referral to RRH	<input type="text" value="4"/> days	<input type="text" value="20"/> out of	20
Exits to Permanent Housing				
Rapid Re-Housing	90% move to PH	<input type="text" value="93"/> %	<input type="text" value="25"/> out of	25
Returns to Homelessness				
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 15% of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to PH	<input type="text" value="2"/> %	<input type="text" value="15"/> out of	15
New or Increased Income and Earned Income				
Earned income for project stayers	8%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="33"/> %	<input type="text" value="2.5"/> out of	2.5
Non-employment income for project stayers	10%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="11.7"/> %	<input type="text" value="2.5"/> out of	2.5
Earned income for project leavers	8%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="23"/> %	<input type="text" value="2.5"/> out of	2.5
Non-employment income for project leavers	10%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="0"/> %	<input type="text" value="0.0"/> out of	2.5
Performance Measures Subtotal			67.5 out of	70
SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS				
Rapid Re-Housing	Assessment score for 95% of participants indicates RRH or more intensive intervention	<input type="text" value="85"/>	<input type="text" value="17"/> out of	20
Serve High Need Populations Subtotal			17 out of	20
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS				
Project has reasonable costs	Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="20"/> out of	20
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 95% of entries to project from CE referrals	<input type="text" value="64"/> %	<input type="text" value="6"/> out of	10
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	10
Project Effectiveness Subtotal			36.4 out of	40
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA				
CoC Monitoring Score	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	10
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal			10 out of	10
TOTAL SCORE			130.9 out of	140
Weighted Rating Score			94 out of	100

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	<small>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</small>	\$ 694,405
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		-
Amount of private funding		-
TOTAL PROJECT COST		\$ 694,405
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	<small>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</small>	\$ -
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	<small>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</small>	\$ -
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year		0%

