

NEW PROJECTS RATING TOOL

Project Name: v Natchez Rapid Rehousing Program (9)
 Organization Name: Catholic Charities
 Project Type: TH+RRH
 Project Identifier: 9

| RATING FACTOR | POINTS AWARDED | MAX POINT VALUE |
|---|----------------|------------------|
| EXPERIENCE | | |
| A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application. | 15 | out of 15 |
| B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for accepting new clients; 3) process and criteria for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, actual or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases. | 10 | out of 10 |
| C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants. | 5 | out of 5 |
| Experience Subtotal | 30 | out of 30 |
| DESIGN OF HOUSING & SUPPORTIVE SERVICES | | |
| A. Extent to which the applicant | 8 | out of 15 |
| 1. Demonstrate understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. | | |
| 2. Demonstrate type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served | | |
| 3. Demonstrate type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meet the needs of the clients to be served. | | |
| 4. Demonstrate how clients will be assisted in obtaining and coordinating the provision of mainstream benefits | | |
| 5. Establish performance measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable, and meet or exceed any established HUD, HEARTH or CoC benchmarks. | 3 | out of 5 |
| B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs. | 5 | out of 5 |
| C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently. | 16 | out of 25 |
| Design of Housing & Supportive Services Subtotal | | |
| TIMELINESS | | |
| A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award. | 10 | out of 10 |
| Timeliness Subtotal | | |
| FINANCIAL | | |
| A. Project is cost-effective - comparing projected cost per person served to CoC average within project type. | 5 | out of 5 |
| B. Audit | 5 | out of 5 |
| 1. Most recent audit found no exceptions to standard practices | | |
| 2. Most recent audit identified agency as 'low risk' | | |
| 3. Most recent audit indicates no findings | 5 | out of 5 |
| C. Documented match amount | 5 | out of 5 |
| D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable | 20 | out of 20 |
| Financial Subtotal | | |
| PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS | | |
| Coordinated Entry Participation- 95% of entries to project from CE referrals | 3 | out of 5 |
| Project Effectiveness Subtotal | | |
| OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA | | |
| Other and Local Criteria Subtotal | | |
| TOTAL SCORE | | |
| Weighted Rating Score | | |

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

| | |
|---|-------------------|
| CoC funding requested | \$ 136,996 |
| Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city) | |
| Amount of private funding | |
| TOTAL PROJECT COST | \$ 136,996 |

NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab