

# COORDINATED ENTRY SYSTEMS - HOMELESSNESS PREVENTION

	AGENCY NAME: PROGRAM(S) INCLUDED:	Oak Arbor Oak Arbor SSV	Oak Arbor Oak Arbor SSVF HP			
	ANALYSIS DATE RANGE:	1/1/23	THRU	1/31/23		
ART I	- PLACEMENTS					
ECTION	I: PLACEMENTS VS. ADMISSIO	NS				
-	e expected to place 95% of all project entr into. The discrepancy gives evidence of pe	-		-	concerning placements from th	ne waitlist against
LACEM	ENTS FROM THE WAITLIST	0		ADMISSI	ONS INTO PROGRAM	2
	0.00%	of agency	/ admission (	utilized the syste	m path correctly.	
	95.00%	is the CES	standard fo	or Project Enrollr	nent via CES.	
	POINTS OBTAIL	NED 0.00	of 40.00			
ompliance		ogram is allowing th	e client to remai	n on the waitlist for 1 b	business day. This allows for H	MIS reporting and C
		ogram is allowing th	e client to remai	%	of Entrants Added to I into Agency Program	WL +
ompliance 0	reporting. Added & Admitted, Same Day			% Admitted	of Entrants Added to	WL +
ompliance 0	reporting.  Added & Admitted, Same Day  Placements from the WL  Added & Admitted,	PLACE	<b>0.00%</b> D ON WAIT	% Admitted	of Entrants Added to I into Agency Program	WL + n, Same Day
ompliance 0	reporting. Added & Admitted, Same Day Placements from the WL		0.00% D ON WAIT	% Admitted	of Entrants Added to	WL +
ompliance 0	reporting.  Added & Admitted, Same Day  Placements from the WL  Added & Admitted,	PLACE	0.00% D ON WAIT	CLIST	of Entrants Added to I into Agency Program	WL + n, Same Day
0 0	reporting.  Added & Admitted, Same Day  Placements from the WL  Added & Admitted,  Added & Admitted,  0 0.1 0.2	PLACE	0.00% D ON WAIT	CLIST	of Entrants Added to I into Agency Program	WL + n, Same Day
O O O O PART II EECTION	reporting.  Added & Admitted, Same Day  Placements from the WL  Added & Admitted,  Added & Admitted,  O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	PLACE PLACE O.3 0.4 AMAGE Add Same Day NED 0.00 DSITION	0.00% D ON WAIT 0.5 mitted, Placement of 10.00	% Admitted	of Entrants Added to I into Agency Program	WL + n, Same Day
0 0 0 PART II ECTION	reporting.  Added & Admitted, Same Day  Placements from the WL  Added & Admitted,  Added & Admitted,  0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	PLACE PLACE O.3 0.4 AMAGE Add Same Day NED 0.00 DSITION	0.00% D ON WAIT 0.5 mitted, Placement of 10.00	% Admitted	of Entrants Added to I into Agency Program	WL + n, Same Day

0.00 POINTS OBTAINED

of 25.00

#### SECTION IV: EXPECTED DATE OF HOMELESSNESS

The following table displays the amount of HP Assessments and the clients' expected date of homelessness. Dates closer to imminent vacating of housing are prioritized higher than those expected to lose housing in later dates.

		# c	of expected da	tes	
PROGRAM(S) INCLUDED:	Within 45 days	Within 30 days	Within 14 days	Within 7 days	TOTAL ASSESSMENTS
Oak Arbor SSVF HP	0	0	0	0	0

POINTS OBTAINED 0.00 of 25.00

# NOTE: THE NEXT TWO (2) SECTIONS ARE FOR CoC AND PROGRAMMATIC PERSPECTIVE ONLY. THE DATA BELOW DOES NOT AFFECT YOUR OVERALL PROGRAM GRADE.

SECTION V: HP ASSESSMENT SCORE RANGES [NOT SCORED]

The following table displays the amount of HP Assessment scores falling within recommended ranges.

Scores 0-10 are typically recommended for Rapid Resolution/Diversion. Scores 11-13 usually recommended for One-time Assistance . Scores 14-17 are recommended for Short-term Assistance (up to 3months). Scores 18 or above are usually recommended for Medium-term Assistance (up to 6 months).

			# of scores		
PROGRAM(S) INCLUDED:	Scores 0-10	Scores 11-13	Scores 14-17	Scores 18+	TOTAL ASSESSMENTS
Oak Arbor SSVF HP	0	0	0	0	0

## SECTION VI: AVERAGE HP ASSESSMENT SCORE [NOT SCORED]

This number is compiled by the averaging the scores from those persons placed in your program's from regional housing waitlists. This number gives a picture of the average intervention needed by persons assessed in HP programs.

		interv
		Scoring Rang
CALCULATED AVERAGE:	0	Score 0-10
		Score 11-13
		Score 14-17
		Score 18+

Intervention Key			
Scoring Range	Intervention		
Score 0-10	Rapid Resolution/Diversion		
Score 11-13	One-time Assistance		
Score 14-17	Short-Term Assistance		
Score 18+	Medium-Term Assistance		

# **SUMMARY OF METRIC ANALYSIS**

PART I - PLACEMENT POINTS		
SECTION I: CES PROJECT ENROLLMENT RATE:	0.00	POINTS (of 40)
SECTION II: PLACEMENT + ADMISSION, SAME	0.00	POINTS (of 10)
PART II - PRIORITIZATION POINTS		
SECTION III: AVERAGE LIST POSITION	0.00	POINTS (of 25)
SECTION IV: EXPECTED DATE OF HOMELESSNESS:	0.00	POINTS (of 25)
OVERALL PROGRAM GRADE	0.00	F
of 1	00.00 p	oints

MONTHLY METRIC ANALYSIS NOTES:

The following clients were admitted into program, but not taken from the HP waitlist:

102617025 - No BoS Referral profile, and was not added to the HP waitlist before program entry.

136207125 - No BoS Referral profile, and was not added to the HP waitlist before program entry.



# MISSISSIPPI BALANCE OF STATE CONTINUUM OF CARE (INCLUDING SCORING & FORMULAS)

(INCLUDING SCORING & FORMULAS)

20 Points

n% x .40

#### SECTION I: PLACEMENTS VS. ADMISSIONS

% of agency admissions utilized the	Total # of Placements From the Waitlist			
system path correctly		Total # of Admissions into Program		
-				
	PC	DINTS		
VALUE = 40% of Overall Grade	FACTOR	MAX POINT VALUE	1	

If>=95%

lf<95%

It is prohibited for any CoC-funded, SSVF-funded, or ESG-funded housing project to serve individuals and families experiencing homelessness or at imminent risk of homelessness without the household first going through the Coordinated Entry System and being added to the waitlist.

Per HUD's standard outlined in the CoC program rating and ranking process, 95% of project entries must originate from the Coordinated Entry System (waitlist/prioritization policy). The remaining 5% of project entries must be documented by need and verified with the CES Director.

#### SECTION II: ADDED TO HOUSING WAITLIST AND ADMITTED IN THE SAME DAY

The prescribed method for placing admissions into program is allowing the client to remain on the waitlist for 1 business day. This allows for HMIS reporting and CES compliance reporting.

% of Entrants Added to the WL + Admitted, Same Day =	Total # of Added WL + Admitted, Same Day Total # of Placements From the Waitlist		· ·
	POINTS		
	FACTOR	MAX POINT VALUE	
	If n% 0-20%	10 Points	
VALUE = 10% of Overall Grade	lf n% 21-40%	8 Points	
	lf n% 41-60%	6 Points	
	lf n% 61-80%	4 Points	
	If n% 61-80%	2 Points	

#### SECTION III: AVERAGE PRIORITY LIST POSITION

Г

This metric is based on the current month's admissions from the waitlist into your agency's programs and the average list position of your client(s). This metric is separated by waitlist for individuals and waitlist for families. The calculations for points are based on whether the average position falls in the top tier (0-33rd percentage), middle tier (34th-65 percentage) or lower tier (66 percentage or more).

#### VALUE = 25% of Overall Grade

Average List Position Percentage (ALP%)	_	Average List Position for the Agency's Assigned Persons	— x 100
Average List Position Percentage (ALP %)	-	Longest Regional List Length for Persons Across the BoS	— X 100

POINTS				
FACTOR	TIER LEVEL	MAX POINT VALUE		
If ALP% 0-33%	TOP	25 Points		
If ALP% 34-65%	MIDDLE	16.66 Points		
If ALP% 66% or >	LOWER	8.33 Points		

#### SECTION IV: EXPECTED DATE OF HOMELESSNESS

Score ranges are dictated by the various intervention levels of the HP Assessment. Dates closer to imminent vacating of housing are prioritized higher than those expected to lose housing in later dates.

# VALUE = 25% of Overall Grade

DATE RANGES	POINT CALCULATION
WITHIN 45 DAYS	(# of 45d dates n / total assessments) x 0.0625
WITHIN 30 DAYS	(# of 30d dates n / total assessments) x 0.125
WITHIN 14 DAYS	(# of 14d dates n / total assessments) x 0.1875
WITHIN 7 DAYS	(# of 7d dates n / total assessments) x 0.25

Total Points for Section IV	_	(45d Score Calculation) + (30d Score Calculation) +
	—	(14d Score Calculation) + (7d Score Calculation)

#### SECTION V: HP ASSESSMENT SCORE RANGES

The table displays the amount of HP Assessment scores falling within recommended ranges.

Scores 0-10 are typically recommended for Rapid Resolution/Diversion. Scores 11-13 usually recommended for One-time Assistance . Scores 14-17 are recommended for Short-term Assistance (up to 3months). Scores 18 or above are usually recommended for Medium-term Assistance (up to 6 months).

		SCORE RANGE	MEANING OF VARIABLE
		SCORES 0-10	(# of 0-10 scores n / total assessments)
		SCORES 11-13	(# of 11-13 scores n / total assessments)
		SCORES 14-17	(# of 14-17 scores n / total assessments)
		SCORES 18+	(# of 18+ scores n / total assessments)
Total Assessments for	_	( # of 0-10 Score ) + ( # of 11-13 Score) +	
Section V	—	( # of 14-17 Score) + ( # of 18+ Score)	

#### SECTION VI: AVERAGE HP ASSESSMENT SCORE

Т

This number is compiled by the averaging the scores from those persons placed in your programs from regional housing waitlists. Points given correlate to the HP Assessment Score recommendations.

Average HP	=	Cumulative Sum of Agency's HP assessment scores
Assessment Score		Total # of assessments

INTERVENTIONS			
SCORE RANGE	RECOMMENDATION		
Score 0-10	Rapid Resolution/		
	Diversion		
Score 11-13	One-time Assistance		
Score 14-17	Short-term		
Score 14-17	Assistance		
Score 18+	Medium-term		

## CALCULATING OVERALL PROGRAM GRADE

Your grade is based on the total scoring compiled from the five (5) scoring criteria:

=

Overall F	Program	Grade
-----------	---------	-------

Section I + Section II + Section III + Section IV

SCORING KEY	GRADE
100 - 90	А
89 - 80	В
79 - 70	С
69 - 60	D
Below 60	F

# QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR MMA? CONTACT US!

EMAIL: Reginald Glenn, CES Director rglenn@msbos.org Hannah Maharrey, CoC Director hmaharrey@msbos.org PHONE: (601) 624-4003 FAX: (601) 487-0984 WEBSITE: www.msbos.org/ces

