

COORDINATED ENTRY SYSTEMS - HOMELESSNESS PREVENTION


MONTHLY METRIC ANALYSIS (MMA)

AGENCY NAME: PROGRAM(S) INCLUDED:	Multi-Coun MCCSA HP	(MCCSA)		
ANALYSIS DATE RANGE:	3/1/23	THRU	3/31/23	

PART I - PLACEMENTS


SECTION I: PLACEMENTS VS. ADMISSIONS

Agencies are expected to place 95% of all project entries from regional waitlists in HMIS. This gives information concerning placements from the waitlist against admissions into. The discrepancy gives evidence of persons admitted in program without practicing CES process.



SECTION II: ADDED TO HOUSING WAITLIST AND ADMITTED IN THE SAME DAY

The prescribed method for placing admissions into program is allowing the client to remain on the waitlist for 1 business day. This allows for HMIS reporting and CES compliance reporting.



Average Priority List Position - Assigned Clients:	1		Longest Regional List Length:	25
POINTS OBTAINED	25.00	of 25.00		

SECTION IV: EXPECTED DATE OF HOMELESSNESS

The following table displays the amount of HP Assessments and the clients' expected date of homelessness. Dates closer to imminent vacating of housing are prioritized higher than those expected to lose housing in later dates.

		# c	of expected da	tes	
PROGRAM(S) INCLUDED:	Within 45 days	Within 30 days	Within 14 days	Within 7 days	TOTAL ASSESSMENTS
MCCSA HP	0	1	0	1	2

POINTS OBTAINED 18.75 of 25.00

NOTE: THE NEXT TWO (2) SECTIONS ARE FOR CoC AND PROGRAMMATIC PERSPECTIVE ONLY. THE DATA BELOW DOES NOT AFFECT YOUR OVERALL PROGRAM GRADE.

SECTION V: HP ASSESSMENT SCORE RANGES [NOT SCORED]

The following table displays the amount of HP Assessment scores falling within recommended ranges.

Scores 0-10 are typically recommended for Rapid Resolution/Diversion. Scores 11-13 usually recommended for One-time Assistance . Scores 14-17 are recommended for Short-term Assistance (up to 3months). Scores 18 or above are usually recommended for Medium-term Assistance (up to 6 months).

# of scores							
PROGRAM(S) INCLUDED:	Scores 0-10	Scores 11-13	Scores 14-17	Scores 18+	TOTAL ASSESSMENTS		
MCCSA HP	0	0	2	0	2		

.. .

SECTION VI: AVERAGE HP ASSESSMENT SCORE [NOT SCORED]

This number is compiled by the averaging the scores from those persons placed in your program's from regional housing waitlists. This number gives a picture of the average intervention needed by persons assessed in HP programs.

age intervention needed by persons assessed in the programs.			Interven	tion Key	
				Scoring Range	Intervention
CALCULATED AVERAGE:		16		Score 0-10	Rapid Resolution/Diversion
			-	Score 11-13	One-time Assistance
				Score 14-17	Short-Term Assistance
				Score 18+	Medium-Term Assistance
SUMMARY OF METRIC ANALYSIS PART I - PLACEMENT POINTS					
SECTION I: CES PROJECT ENROLLMENT RATE:	40.00	POINTS (of 40)			
SECTION II: PLACEMENT + ADMISSION, SAME	10.00	POINTS (of 10)			
PART II - PRIORITIZATION POINTS					
SECTION III: AVERAGE LIST POSITION	25.00	POINTS (of 25)			
SECTION IV: EXPECTED DATE OF HOMELESSNESS:	18.75	POINTS (of 25)			
OVERALL PROGRAM GRADE	93.75	Α			
	00.00 pc	• •	-		

MONTHLY METRIC ANANLYSIS NOTES:



MISSISSIPPI BALANCE OF STATE CONTINUUM OF CARE (INCLUDING SCORING & FORMULAS)

(INCLUDING SCORING & FORMULAS)

20 Points

n% x .40

SECTION I: PLACEMENTS VS. ADMISSIONS

% of agency admissions utilized the	. To	Total # of Placements From the Waitlist				
system path correctly		Total # of Admissions into Program				
-						
	PC	POINTS				
VALUE = 40% of Overall Grade	FACTOR	MAX POINT VALUE	1			

If>=95%

lf<95%

It is prohibited for any CoC-funded, SSVF-funded, or ESG-funded housing project to serve individuals and families experiencing homelessness or at imminent risk of homelessness without the household first going through the Coordinated Entry System and being added to the waitlist.

Per HUD's standard outlined in the CoC program rating and ranking process, 95% of project entries must originate from the Coordinated Entry System (waitlist/prioritization policy). The remaining 5% of project entries must be documented by need and verified with the CES Director.

SECTION II: ADDED TO HOUSING WAITLIST AND ADMITTED IN THE SAME DAY

The prescribed method for placing admissions into program is allowing the client to remain on the waitlist for 1 business day. This allows for HMIS reporting and CES compliance reporting.

% of Entrants Added to the WL + Admitted, Same Day =	Total # of Added WL + Admitted, Same Day Total # of Placements From the Waitlist			
VALUE = 10% of Overall Grade	PO	INTS		
	FACTOR	MAX POINT VALUE		
	If n% 0-20%	10 Points		
	lf n% 21-40%	8 Points		
	lf n% 41-60%	6 Points		
	lf n% 61-80%	4 Points		
	If n% 61-80%	2 Points		

SECTION III: AVERAGE PRIORITY LIST POSITION

Г

This metric is based on the current month's admissions from the waitlist into your agency's programs and the average list position of your client(s). This metric is separated by waitlist for individuals and waitlist for families. The calculations for points are based on whether the average position falls in the top tier (0-33rd percentage), middle tier (34th-65 percentage) or lower tier (66 percentage or more).

VALUE = 25% of Overall Grade

Average List Position Percentage (ALP%)	_	Average List Position for the Agency's Assigned Persons	— x 100
Average List Position Percentage (ALP %)	-	Longest Regional List Length for Persons Across the BoS	— X 100

POINTS					
FACTOR	TIER LEVEL	MAX POINT VALUE			
If ALP% 0-33%	TOP	25 Points			
If ALP% 34-65%	MIDDLE	16.66 Points			
If ALP% 66% or >	LOWER	8.33 Points			

SECTION IV: EXPECTED DATE OF HOMELESSNESS

Score ranges are dictated by the various intervention levels of the HP Assessment. Dates closer to imminent vacating of housing are prioritized higher than those expected to lose housing in later dates.

VALUE = 25% of Overall Grade

DATE RANGES	POINT CALCULATION
WITHIN 45 DAYS	(# of 45d dates n / total assessments) x 0.0625
WITHIN 30 DAYS	(# of 30d dates n / total assessments) x 0.125
WITHIN 14 DAYS	(# of 14d dates n / total assessments) x 0.1875
WITHIN 7 DAYS	(# of 7d dates n / total assessments) x 0.25

Total Points for Section IV	_	(45d Score Calculation) + (30d Score Calculation) +
	—	(14d Score Calculation) + (7d Score Calculation)

SECTION V: HP ASSESSMENT SCORE RANGES

The table displays the amount of HP Assessment scores falling within recommended ranges.

Scores 0-10 are typically recommended for Rapid Resolution/Diversion. Scores 11-13 usually recommended for One-time Assistance . Scores 14-17 are recommended for Short-term Assistance (up to 3months). Scores 18 or above are usually recommended for Medium-term Assistance (up to 6 months).

		SCORE RANGE	MEANING OF VARIABLE			
		SCORES 0-10	(# of 0-10 scores n / total assessments)			
		SCORES 11-13	(# of 11-13 scores n / total assessments)			
		SCORES 14-17	(# of 14-17 scores n / total assessments)			
		SCORES 18+	(# of 18+ scores n / total assessments)			
Total Assessments for	=	(# of 0-10 Score) + (# of 11-13 Score) + (# of 14-17 Score) + (# of 18+ Score)				
Section V	_					

SECTION VI: AVERAGE HP ASSESSMENT SCORE

This number is compiled by the averaging the scores from those persons placed in your programs from regional housing waitlists. Points given correlate to the HP Assessment Score recommendations.

Average HP	=	Cumulative Sum of Agency's HP assessment scores
Assessment Score		Total # of assessments

INTERVENTIONS		
SCORE RANGE	RECOMMENDATION	
Score 0-10	Rapid Resolution/	
	Diversion	
Score 11-13	One-time Assistance	
Score 14-17	Short-term	
Score 14-17	Assistance	
Score 18+	Medium-term	

CALCULATING OVERALL PROGRAM GRADE

Your grade is based on the total scoring compiled from the four (4) scoring criteria:

=

Overall Program Grade

Section I + Section II + Section III + Section IV

SCORING KEY	GRADE
100 - 90	А
89 - 80	В
79 - 70	С
69 - 60	D
Below 60	F

QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR MMA? CONTACT US!

EMAIL: Reginald Glenn, CES Director rglenn@msbos.org Hannah Maharrey, CoC Director hmaharrey@msbos.org **PHONE:** (601) 624-4003 **FAX:** (601) 487-0984 WEBSITE: www.msbos.org/ces

