

COORDINATED ENTRY SYSTEMS - HOMELESSNESS PREVENTION

MONTHLY METRIC ANALYSIS (MMA)

		MONTHLY N	IETRIC ANAL	YSIS (MMA)	
	AGENCY NAME: PROGRAM(S) INCLUDED:	WWISCAA ESG			
	ANALYSIS DATE RANGE:	4/1/23	THRU	4/30/23	
RTI	- PLACEMENTS				
	I: PLACEMENTS VS. ADMISSIO	NS			
	re expected to place 95% of all project ent into. The discrepancy gives evidence of pe	-		is gives information concerning placements f acticing CES process.	rom the waitlist against
ACEM	IENTS FROM THE WAITLIST	0		ADMISSIONS INTO PROGR	AM 1
	0.00%	of agency	admission u	tilized the system path correctly.	
	95.00%	is the CES	standard for	Project Enrollment via CES.	
			of 40,00		
	POINTS OBTAI	NED 0.00	of 40.00	-	
CTION	II: ADDED TO HOUSING WAITI	LIST AND ADMI	TTED IN THE	SAME DAY	
-	ibed method for placing admissions into pr e reporting.	ogram is allowing th	e client to remain	on the waitlist for 1 business day. This allows	for HMIS reporting and C
0	Added & Admitted,				
<u> </u>	Same Day		0.00%	% of Entrants Adde	
0	Placements from the WL			Admitted into Agency Pro	gram, Same Day
		PLACE	D ON WAIT	LIST	
	Added & Admitted,				
	0 0.1 0.2	0.3 0.4	0.5	0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9	1
		Added & Adr Same Day	nitted, ∎Placements	from the WL	
	POINTS OBTAI	NED 0.00	of 10.00		
				_	
	- PRIORITIZATION				
	N III: AVERAGE PRIORITY LIST PC his month's admissions into your agency's		ge list position of	your client(s):	
seu on ti					
		and the second			
	verage Priority List Position - Assigned Clients:	-		Longest Regional List Length:	25

POINTS OBTAINED 0.00 a

of 25.00

SECTION IV: EXPECTED DATE OF HOMELESSNESS

The following table displays the amount of HP Assessments and the clients' expected date of homelessness. Dates closer to imminent vacating of housing are prioritized higher than those expected to lose housing in later dates.

		# c	of expected da	tes	
PROGRAM(S) INCLUDED:	Within 45 days	Within 30 days	Within 14 days	Within 7 days	TOTAL ASSESSMENTS
WWISCAA ESG-HP	0	0	0	0	0

POINTS OBTAINED 0.00 of 25.00

NOTE: THE NEXT TWO (2) SECTIONS ARE FOR CoC AND PROGRAMMATIC PERSPECTIVE ONLY. THE DATA BELOW DOES NOT AFFECT YOUR OVERALL PROGRAM GRADE.

SECTION V: HP ASSESSMENT SCORE RANGES [NOT SCORED]

The following table displays the amount of HP Assessment scores falling within recommended ranges.

Scores 0-10 are typically recommended for Rapid Resolution/Diversion. Scores 11-13 usually recommended for One-time Assistance . Scores 14-17 are recommended for Short-term Assistance (up to 3months). Scores 18 or above are usually recommended for Medium-term Assistance (up to 6 months).

			# of scores		
PROGRAM(S) INCLUDED:	Scores 0-10	Scores 11-13	Scores 14-17	Scores 18+	TOTAL ASSESSMENTS
WWISCAA ESG-HP	0	0	0	0	0

SECTION VI: AVERAGE HP ASSESSMENT SCORE [NOT SCORED]

This number is compiled by the averaging the scores from those persons placed in your program's from regional housing waitlists. This number gives a picture of the average intervention needed by persons assessed in HP programs.

Scoring R
Score 0
Score 1
Score 14
Score 1

Intervention Key				
Scoring Range	Intervention			
Score 0-10	Rapid Resolution/Diversion			
Score 11-13	One-time Assistance			
Score 14-17	Short-Term Assistance			
Score 18+	Medium-Term Assistance			

.. .

SUMMARY OF METRIC ANALYSIS

of 10	00.00 p	oints
OVERALL PROGRAM GRADE	0.00	F
SECTION IV: EXPECTED DATE OF HOMELESSNESS:	0.00	POINTS (of 25)
SECTION III: AVERAGE LIST POSITION	0.00	POINTS (of 25)
PART II - PRIORITIZATION POINTS		
SECTION II: PLACEMENT + ADMISSION, SAME	0.00	POINTS (of 10)
SECTION I: CES PROJECT ENROLLMENT RATE:	0.00	POINTS (of 40)
PART I - PLACEMENT POINTS		

MONTHLY METRIC ANALYSIS NOTES:

Client was not placed from the WL, resulting in an ineligible placement and loss of points. All clients served with HP services should be placed in the BoS HP referrals program, added to the regional waitlist, and placed in program from the regional waitlist. For more information about the system contact Reginald Glenn via email rglenn@msbos.org.



MISSISSIPPI BALANCE OF STATE CONTINUUM OF CARE (INCLUDING SCORING & FORMULAS)

(INCLUDING SCORING & FORMULAS)

20 Points

n% x .40

SECTION I: PLACEMENTS VS. ADMISSIONS

% of agency admissions utilized the	Total # of Placements From the Waitlist			
system path correctly	Total # of Admissions into Program			
-				
	PC	DINTS		
VALUE = 40% of Overall Grade	FACTOR	MAX POINT VALUE	1	

If>=95%

lf<95%

It is prohibited for any CoC-funded, SSVF-funded, or ESG-funded housing project to serve individuals and families experiencing homelessness or at imminent risk of homelessness without the household first going through the Coordinated Entry System and being added to the waitlist.

Per HUD's standard outlined in the CoC program rating and ranking process, 95% of project entries must originate from the Coordinated Entry System (waitlist/prioritization policy). The remaining 5% of project entries must be documented by need and verified with the CES Director.

SECTION II: ADDED TO HOUSING WAITLIST AND ADMITTED IN THE SAME DAY

The prescribed method for placing admissions into program is allowing the client to remain on the waitlist for 1 business day. This allows for HMIS reporting and CES compliance reporting.

% of Entrants Added to the WL + Admitted, Same Day =	Total # of Added WL + Admitted, Same Total # of Placements From the Waitli		
	PO	INTS	
	FACTOR	MAX POINT VALUE	
	If n% 0-20%	10 Points	
VALUE = 10% of Overall Grade	lf n% 21-40%	8 Points	
	lf n% 41-60%	6 Points	
	lf n% 61-80%	4 Points	
	If n% 61-80%	2 Points	

SECTION III: AVERAGE PRIORITY LIST POSITION

Г

This metric is based on the current month's admissions from the waitlist into your agency's programs and the average list position of your client(s). This metric is separated by waitlist for individuals and waitlist for families. The calculations for points are based on whether the average position falls in the top tier (0-33rd percentage), middle tier (34th-65 percentage) or lower tier (66 percentage or more).

VALUE = 25% of Overall Grade

Average List Position Percentage (ALP%)	_	Average List Position for the Agency's Assigned Persons	— x 100
Average List Position Percentage (ALP %)	=	Longest Regional List Length for Persons Across the BoS	

POINTS					
FACTOR	TIER LEVEL	MAX POINT VALUE			
If ALP% 0-33%	TOP	25 Points			
If ALP% 34-65%	MIDDLE	16.66 Points			
If ALP% 66% or >	LOWER	8.33 Points			

SECTION IV: EXPECTED DATE OF HOMELESSNESS

Score ranges are dictated by the various intervention levels of the HP Assessment. Dates closer to imminent vacating of housing are prioritized higher than those expected to lose housing in later dates.

VALUE = 25% of Overall Grade

DATE RANGES	POINT CALCULATION
WITHIN 45 DAYS	(# of 45d dates n / total assessments) x 0.0625
WITHIN 30 DAYS	(# of 30d dates n / total assessments) x 0.125
WITHIN 14 DAYS	(# of 14d dates n / total assessments) x 0.1875
WITHIN 7 DAYS	(# of 7d dates n / total assessments) x 0.25

Total Points for Section IV	=	(45d Score Calculation) + (30d Score Calculation) +
		(14d Score Calculation) + (7d Score Calculation)

SECTION V: HP ASSESSMENT SCORE RANGES

The table displays the amount of HP Assessment scores falling within recommended ranges.

Scores 0-10 are typically recommended for Rapid Resolution/Diversion. Scores 11-13 usually recommended for One-time Assistance . Scores 14-17 are recommended for Short-term Assistance (up to 3months). Scores 18 or above are usually recommended for Medium-term Assistance (up to 6 months).

		SCORE RANGE	MEANING OF VARIABLE
		SCORES 0-10	(# of 0-10 scores n / total assessments)
		SCORES 11-13	(# of 11-13 scores n / total assessments)
		SCORES 14-17	(# of 14-17 scores n / total assessments)
		SCORES 18+	(# of 18+ scores n / total assessments)
Total Assessments for	_	(# of 0-10 Score) + (# of 11-13 Score) +	
Section V	—	(# of 14-17 Score) + (# of 18+ Score)	

SECTION VI: AVERAGE HP ASSESSMENT SCORE

Т

This number is compiled by the averaging the scores from those persons placed in your programs from regional housing waitlists. Points given correlate to the HP Assessment Score recommendations.

Average HP	=	Cumulative Sum of Agency's HP assessment scores
Assessment Score		Total # of assessments

INTERVENTIONS			
SCORE RANGE	RECOMMENDATION		
Score 0-10	Rapid Resolution/		
	Diversion		
Score 11-13	One-time Assistance		
Score 14-17	Short-term		
Score 14-17	Assistance		
Score 18+	Medium-term		

CALCULATING OVERALL PROGRAM GRADE

Your grade is based on the total scoring compiled from the five (5) scoring criteria:

=

Overall F	Program	Grade
-----------	---------	-------

Section I + Section II + Section III + Section IV

SCORING KEY	GRADE
100 - 90	А
89 - 80	В
79 - 70	С
69 - 60	D
Below 60	F

QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR MMA? CONTACT US!

EMAIL: Reginald Glenn, CES Director rglenn@msbos.org Hannah Maharrey, CoC Director hmaharrey@msbos.org PHONE: (601) 624-4003 FAX: (601) 487-0984 WEBSITE: www.msbos.org/ces

