

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: 227 Place (25) Print Blank Template Print Report Card
 Organization Name: AIDS Services Coalition
 Project Type: PSH (General) Renewal/Expansion Projects Rating Complete
 Project Identifier: 25 Did not meet all CoC threshold requirements 0%

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
PERFORMANCE MEASURES				
Length of Stay				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	On average, participants are placed in housing 15 days after referral to PSH	0 days	20	out of 20
Exits to Permanent Housing				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	90% remain in or move to PH	43 %	0	out of 25
Returns to Homelessness				
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 10% of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to PH	5 %	15	out of 15
New or Increased Income and Earned Income				
Earned income for project stayers	8%+ of participants with new or increased income	30 %	2.5	out of 2.5
Non-employment income for project stayers	10%+ of participants with new or increased income	0 %	0.0	out of 2.5
Earned income for project leavers	15%+ of participants with new or increased income	0 %	0.0	out of 2.5
Non-employment income for project leavers	25%+ of participants with new or increased income	0 %	0.0	out of 2.5
Performance Measures Subtotal			37.5	out of 70
SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	Assessment score for participants indicates PSH with 95% at highest end of PSH range	YES	20	out of 20
Permanent Supportive-Housing	≥ 95% of participants are chronically homeless	24 %	0	out of 20
Permanent Supportive-Housing	≥ 80% of participants with zero income at entry	88 %	10	out of 10
Permanent Supportive-Housing	≥ 75% of participants with more than one disability type	88 %	10	out of 10
Permanent Supportive-Housing	≥ 75% of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	71 %	5	out of 10
Serve High Need Populations Subtotal			45	out of 70
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS				
Project has reasonable costs	Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	YES	20	out of 20
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 95% of entries to project from CE referrals	42.86 %	0	out of 10
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	YES	10	out of 10
Project Effectiveness Subtotal			30	out of 40
EQUITY FACTORS				
Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies				
Recipient Management & Leadership Positions	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	YES	10	out of 10
Recipient Board of Directors	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	NO	0	out of 10
Process for receiving & incorporating feedback	Process includes persons with lived experience	YES	10	out of 10
Internal Policies and Procedures	Policies with equitable lense, no undue barriers	YES	10	out of 10
Program Participant Outcomes				
Outcomes with an equity lens	Data disaggregated by underserved populations	YES	10	out of 10
Program changes for equitable outcomes	Plan to create more equitable program outcomes	NO	0	out of 10
HMS data review with equity lens	Plan to review disaggregated data	NO	0	out of 10
Equity Factors Subtotal			40	out of 70
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA				
CoC Monitoring Score	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	YES	10	out of 10
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal			10	out of 10
TOTAL SCORE			162.5	out of 260
Weighted Rating Score			63	out of 100

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION		
CoC funding requested	<small>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</small>	\$ -
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		-
Amount of private funding		-
TOTAL PROJECT COST		\$ -
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	<small>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</small>	\$ -
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	<small>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</small>	\$ -
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year		0%

