

## RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: BCCAA CoC.RRH (27) Print Blank Template Print Report Card  
 Organization Name: Bolivar County CAA  
 Project Type: RRH (General) Renewal/Expansion Projects  
 Project Identifier: 27 Rating Complete  
Did not meet all CoC threshold requirements 0%

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
<b>PERFORMANCE MEASURES</b>				
<b>Length of Stay</b>				
Rapid Re-Housing	On average, participants are placed in housing 15 days after referral to RRH	22 days	15	out of 20
<b>Exits to Permanent Housing</b>				
Rapid Re-Housing	90% move to PH	94 %	25	out of 25
<b>Returns to Homelessness</b>				
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 10% of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to PH	2 %	15	out of 15
<b>New or Increased Income and Earned Income</b>				
Earned income for project stayers	8%+ of participants with new or increased income	20 %	2.5	out of 2.5
Non-employment income for project stayers	10%+ of participants with new or increased income	10 %	2.5	out of 2.5
Earned income for project leavers	15%+ of participants with new or increased income	15 %	2.5	out of 2.5
Non-employment income for project leavers	25%+ of participants with new or increased income	15 %	1.5	out of 2.5
<b>Performance Measures Subtotal</b>			64	out of 70
<b>SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS</b>				
Rapid Re-Housing	Assessment score for 95% of participants indicates RRH or more intensive intervention	YES	20	out of 20
Rapid Re-Housing	≥ 95% of participants are chronically homeless	0 %	0	out of 20
Rapid Re-Housing	≥ 50% of participants with zero income at entry	48 %	5	out of 10
Rapid Re-Housing	≥ 50% of participants with more than one disability type	20 %	0	out of 10
Rapid Re-Housing	≥ 50% of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	96 %	10	out of 10
<b>Serve High Need Populations Subtotal</b>			35	out of 70
<b>PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS</b>				
Project has reasonable costs	Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	YES	20	out of 20
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 95% of entries to project from CE referrals	91.89 %	5	out of 10
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	YES	10	out of 10
<b>Project Effectiveness Subtotal</b>			35	out of 40
<b>EQUITY FACTORS</b>				
<b>Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies</b>				
Recipient Management & Leadership Positions	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	NO	0	out of 10
Recipient Board of Directors	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	YES	10	out of 10
Process for receiving & incorporating feedback	Process includes persons with lived experience	YES	10	out of 10
Internal Policies and Procedures	Policies with equitable lens, no undue barriers	YES	10	out of 10
<b>Program Participant Outcomes</b>				
Outcomes with an equity lens	Data disaggregated by underserved populations	YES	10	out of 10
Program changes for equitable outcomes	Plan to create more equitable program outcomes	YES	10	out of 10
HMS data review with equity lens	Plan to review disaggregated data	YES	10	out of 10
<b>Equity Factors Subtotal</b>			60	out of 70
<b>OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA</b>				
CoC Monitoring Score	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	YES	10	out of 10
<b>Other and Local Criteria Subtotal</b>			10	out of 10
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>			204	out of 260
<b>Weighted Rating Score</b>			78	out of 100

### PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	<small>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</small>	\$ -
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		
Amount of private funding		
<b>TOTAL PROJECT COST</b>		\$ -
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	<small>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</small>	\$ -
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	<small>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</small>	\$ -
<b>Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year</b>		0%

