


## COORDINATED ENTRY SYSTEMS - HOMELESSNESS PREVENTION MONTHLY METRIC ANALYSIS (MMA)

AGENCY NAME:	Community Development Inc.			
PROGRAM(S) INCLUDED:	CDI ESG-CV HP			
ANALYSIS DATE RANGE:	8/1/23	THRU	8/31/23	

## **PART I - PLACEMENTS**


#### SECTION I: PLACEMENTS VS. ADMISSIONS

Agencies are expected to place 95% of all project entries from regional waitlists in HMIS. This gives information concerning placements from the waitlist against admissions into. The discrepancy gives evidence of persons admitted in program without practicing CES process.



## SECTION II: ADDED TO HOUSING WAITLIST AND ADMITTED IN THE SAME DAY

The prescribed method for placing admissions into program is allowing the client to remain on the waitlist for 1 business day. This allows for HMIS reporting and CES compliance reporting.



Based on this month's admissions into your agency's programs, the average list position of your client(s):



## SECTION IV: EXPECTED DATE OF HOMELESSNESS

The following table displays the amount of HP Assessments and the clients' expected date of homelessness. Dates closer to imminent vacating of housing are prioritized higher than those expected to lose housing in later dates.

		# o	of expected da	tes	
PROGRAM(S) INCLUDED:	Within 45 days	Within 30 days	Within 14 days	Within 7 days	TOTAL ASSESSMENTS
CDI ESG-CV HP	0	0	1	5	6
POINTS OBTAINED 23.96	of 25.00				

## NOTE: THE NEXT TWO (2) SECTIONS ARE FOR CoC AND PROGRAMMATIC PERSPECTIVE ONLY. THE DATA BELOW DOES NOT AFFECT YOUR OVERALL PROGRAM GRADE.

## SECTION V: HP ASSESSMENT SCORE RANGES [NOT SCORED]


The following table displays the amount of HP Assessment scores falling within recommended ranges.

Scores 0-10 are typically recommended for Rapid Resolution/Diversion. Scores 11-13 usually recommended for One-time Assistance . Scores 14-17 are recommended for Short-term Assistance (up to 3months). Scores 18 or above are usually recommended for Medium-term Assistance (up to 6 months).

			# of scores		
PROGRAM(S) INCLUDED:	Scores 0-10	Scores 11-13	Scores 14-17	Scores 18+	TOTAL ASSESSMENTS
CDI ESG-CV HP	0	1	3	2	6

### SECTION VI: AVERAGE HP ASSESSMENT SCORE [NOT SCORED]

This number is compiled by the averaging the scores from those persons placed in your program's from regional housing waitlists. This number gives a picture of the average intervention needed by persons assessed in HP programs.



Intervention Key			
Scoring Range	Intervention		
Score 0-10	Rapid Resolution/Diversion		
Score 11-13	One-time Assistance		
Score 14-17	Short-Term Assistance		
Score 18+	Medium-Term Assistance		

#### **SUMMARY OF METRIC ANALYSIS PART I - PLACEMENT POINTS** SECTION I: CES PROJECT ENROLLMENT RATE: POINTS (of 40) 40.00 SECTION II: PLACEMENT + ADMISSION, SAME DAY POINTS (of 10) 10.00 **PART II - PRIORITIZATION POINTS** POINTS (of 25) SECTION III: AVERAGE LIST POSITION 16.66 SECTION IV: EXPECTED DATE OF HOMELESSNESS: POINTS (of 25) 23.96 **OVERALL PROGRAM GRADE** 90.62 Α

of 100.00 points

#### MONTHLY METRIC ANANLYSIS NOTES:



# MISSISSIPPI BALANCE OF STATE CONTINUUM OF CARE (INCLUDING SCORING & FORMULAS)

(INCLUDING SCORING & FORMULAS)

20 Points

n% x .40

#### SECTION I: PLACEMENTS VS. ADMISSIONS

% of agency admissions utilized the	. To	tal # of Placements From t	he Waitlist
system path correctly		Total # of Admissions into Program	
-			
	PC	DINTS	
VALUE = 40% of Overall Grade	FACTOR	MAX POINT VALUE	1

If>=95%

lf<95%

It is prohibited for any CoC-funded, SSVF-funded, or ESG-funded housing project to serve individuals and families experiencing homelessness or at imminent risk of homelessness without the household first going through the Coordinated Entry System and being added to the waitlist.

Per HUD's standard outlined in the CoC program rating and ranking process, 95% of project entries must originate from the Coordinated Entry System (waitlist/prioritization policy). The remaining 5% of project entries must be documented by need and verified with the CES Director.

#### SECTION II: ADDED TO HOUSING WAITLIST AND ADMITTED IN THE SAME DAY

The prescribed method for placing admissions into program is allowing the client to remain on the waitlist for 1 business day. This allows for HMIS reporting and CES compliance reporting.

% of Entrants Added to the WL + Admitted, Same Day =		l # of Added WL + Admitted tal # of Placements From th	· ·
	PO	INTS	
VALUE = 10% of Overall Grade	FACTOR	MAX POINT VALUE	
	If n% 0-20%	10 Points	
	lf n% 21-40%	8 Points	
	lf n% 41-60%	6 Points	
	lf n% 61-80%	4 Points	
	If n% 61-80%	2 Points	

#### SECTION III: AVERAGE PRIORITY LIST POSITION

Г

This metric is based on the current month's admissions from the waitlist into your agency's programs and the average list position of your client(s). This metric is separated by waitlist for individuals and waitlist for families. The calculations for points are based on whether the average position falls in the top tier (0-33rd percentage), middle tier (34th-65 percentage) or lower tier (66 percentage or more).

#### VALUE = 25% of Overall Grade

Average List Position Percentage (ALP%)	_	Average List Position for the Agency's Assigned Persons	— x 100
Average List Position Percentage (ALP %)	-	Longest Regional List Length for Persons Across the BoS	

POINTS		
FACTOR	TIER LEVEL	MAX POINT VALUE
If ALP% 0-33%	TOP	25 Points
If ALP% 34-65%	MIDDLE	16.66 Points
If ALP% 66% or >	LOWER	8.33 Points

#### SECTION IV: EXPECTED DATE OF HOMELESSNESS

Score ranges are dictated by the various intervention levels of the HP Assessment. Dates closer to imminent vacating of housing are prioritized higher than those expected to lose housing in later dates.

## VALUE = 25% of Overall Grade

DATE RANGES	POINT CALCULATION
WITHIN 45 DAYS	(# of 45d dates n / total assessments) x 0.0625
WITHIN 30 DAYS	(# of 30d dates n / total assessments) x 0.125
WITHIN 14 DAYS	(# of 14d dates n / total assessments) x 0.1875
WITHIN 7 DAYS	(# of 7d dates n / total assessments) x 0.25

Total Points for Section IV	_	(45d Score Calculation) + (30d Score Calculation) +
	—	(14d Score Calculation) + (7d Score Calculation)

#### SECTION V: HP ASSESSMENT SCORE RANGES

The table displays the amount of HP Assessment scores falling within recommended ranges.

Scores 0-10 are typically recommended for Rapid Resolution/Diversion. Scores 11-13 usually recommended for One-time Assistance . Scores 14-17 are recommended for Short-term Assistance (up to 3months). Scores 18 or above are usually recommended for Medium-term Assistance (up to 6 months).

		SCORE RANGE	MEANING OF VARIABLE	
		SCORES 0-10	(# of 0-10 scores n / total assessments)	
		SCORES 11-13	(# of 11-13 scores n / total assessments)	
		SCORES 14-17	(# of 14-17 scores n / total assessments)	
		SCORES 18+	(# of 18+ scores n / total assessments)	
Total Assessments for	=	( # of (	0-10 Score ) + ( # of 11-13 Score) +	
Section V	_			

#### SECTION VI: AVERAGE HP ASSESSMENT SCORE

This number is compiled by the averaging the scores from those persons placed in your programs from regional housing waitlists. Points given correlate to the HP Assessment Score recommendations.

Average HP	_	Cumulative Sum of Agency's HP assessment scores
Assessment Score	_	Total # of assessments

INTERVENTIONS	
SCORE RANGE	RECOMMENDATION
Score 0-10	Rapid Resolution/
	Diversion
Score 11-13	One-time Assistance
Score 14-17	Short-term
	Assistance
Score 18+	Medium-term

## CALCULATING OVERALL PROGRAM GRADE

Your grade is based on the total scoring compiled from the four (4) scoring criteria:

=

Overall Program Grade

Section I + Section II + Section III + Section IV

SCORING KEY	GRADE
100 - 90	А
89 - 80	В
79 - 70	С
69 - 60	D
Below 60	F

## QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR MMA? CONTACT US!

EMAIL: Reginald Glenn, CES Director rglenn@msbos.org Hannah Maharrey, CoC Director hmaharrey@msbos.org **PHONE:** (601) 624-4003 **FAX:** (601) 487-0984 WEBSITE: www.msbos.org/ces

