

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: MUTEH Central PSH (20)
 Organization Name: MUTEH, Inc.
 Project Type: PSH (General)
 Project Identifier: 20

Print Blank Template

Print Report Card

Renewal/Expansion Projects
 Rating Complete

Did not meet all CoC threshold requirements

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
PERFORMANCE MEASURES				
Length of Stay				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	On average, participants are placed in housing 15 days after referral to PSH	<input type="text" value="34"/> days	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	20
Exits to Permanent Housing				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	90% remain in or move to PH	<input type="text" value="62"/> %	<input type="text" value="5"/> out of	25
Returns to Homelessness				
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 10% of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to PH	<input type="text" value="13"/> %	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	15
New or Increased Income and Earned Income				
Earned income for project stayers	8%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="0"/> %	<input type="text" value="0.0"/> out of	2.5
Non-employment income for project stayers	10%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="11"/> %	<input type="text" value="2.5"/> out of	2.5
Earned income for project leavers	15%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="0"/> %	<input type="text" value="0.0"/> out of	2.5
Non-employment income for project leavers	25%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="8"/> %	<input type="text" value="0.0"/> out of	2.5
Performance Measures Subtotal			27.5	70
SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	Assessment score for participants indicates PSH with 95% at highest end of PSH range	<input type="text" value="YES"/>	<input type="text" value="20"/> out of	20
Permanent Supportive-Housing	≥ 95% of participants are chronically homeless	<input type="text" value="100"/> %	<input type="text" value="20"/> out of	20
Permanent Supportive-Housing	≥ 80% of participants with zero income at entry	<input type="text" value="45"/> %	<input type="text" value="0"/> out of	10
Permanent Supportive-Housing	≥ 75% of participants with more than one disability type	<input type="text" value="100"/> %	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	10
Permanent Supportive-Housing	≥ 75% of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	<input type="text" value="100"/> %	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	10
Serve High Need Populations Subtotal			60	70
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS				
Project has reasonable costs	Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	<input type="text" value="YES"/>	<input type="text" value="20"/> out of	20
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 95% of entries to project from CE referrals	<input type="text" value="99.11"/> %	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	10
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	<input type="text" value="YES"/>	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	10
Project Effectiveness Subtotal			40	40
EQUITY FACTORS				
Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies				
Recipient Management & Leadership Positions	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	<input type="text" value="YES"/>	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	10
Recipient Board of Directors	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	<input type="text" value="NO"/>	<input type="text" value="0"/> out of	10
Process for receiving & incorporating feedback	Process includes persons with lived experience	<input type="text" value="YES"/>	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	10
Internal Policies and Procedures	Policies with equitable lens, no undue barriers	<input type="text" value="YES"/>	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	10
Program Participant Outcomes				
Outcomes with an equity lens	Data disaggregated by underserved populations	<input type="text" value="YES"/>	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	10
Program changes for equitable outcomes	Plan to create more equitable program outcomes	<input type="text" value="YES"/>	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	10
HMS data review with equity lens	Plan to review disaggregated data	<input type="text" value="YES"/>	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	10
Equity Factors Subtotal			60	70
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA				
CoC Monitoring Score	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	<input type="text" value="YES"/>	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	10
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal			10	10
TOTAL SCORE			197.5	260
Weighted Rating Score			76	100

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	<small>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</small>	\$ -
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		
Amount of private funding		
TOTAL PROJECT COST		\$ -
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	<small>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</small>	\$ -
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	<small>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</small>	\$ -
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year		0%

