

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: MUTEH CoC RRH (37)
 Organization Name: MUTEH, Inc.
 Project Type: RRH (General)
 Project Identifier: 37

Print Blank Template

Print Report Card

Renewal/Expansion Projects
 Rating Complete

Met all threshold requirements

0%

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
PERFORMANCE MEASURES				
Length of Stay				
Rapid Re-Housing	On average, participants are placed in housing 15 days after referral to RRH	33 days	10	out of 20
Exits to Permanent Housing				
Rapid Re-Housing	90% move to PH	71 %	10	out of 25
Returns to Homelessness				
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 10% of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to PH	9 %	15	out of 15
New or Increased Income and Earned Income				
Earned income for project stayers	8%+ of participants with new or increased income	13 %	2.5	out of 2.5
Non-employment income for project stayers	10%+ of participants with new or increased income	9 %	2.0	out of 2.5
Earned income for project leavers	15%+ of participants with new or increased income	22 %	2.5	out of 2.5
Non-employment income for project leavers	25%+ of participants with new or increased income	19 %	1.5	out of 2.5
Performance Measures Subtotal			43.5	out of 70
SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS				
Rapid Re-Housing	Assessment score for 95% of participants indicates RRH or more intensive intervention	YES	20	out of 20
Rapid Re-Housing	≥ 95% of participants are chronically homeless	85 %	15	out of 20
Rapid Re-Housing	≥ 50% of participants with zero income at entry	55 %	10	out of 10
Rapid Re-Housing	≥ 50% of participants with more than one disability type	96 %	10	out of 10
Rapid Re-Housing	≥ 50% of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	99 %	10	out of 10
Serve High Need Populations Subtotal			65	out of 70
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS				
Project has reasonable costs	Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	YES	20	out of 20
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 95% of entries to project from CE referrals	99.11 %	10	out of 10
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	YES	10	out of 10
Project Effectiveness Subtotal			40	out of 40
EQUITY FACTORS				
Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies				
Recipient Management & Leadership Positions	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	YES	10	out of 10
Recipient Board of Directors	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	NO	0	out of 10
Process for receiving & incorporating feedback	Process includes persons with lived experience	YES	10	out of 10
Internal Policies and Procedures	Policies with equitable lens, no undue barriers	YES	10	out of 10
Program Participant Outcomes				
Outcomes with an equity lens	Data disaggregated by underserved populations	YES	10	out of 10
Program changes for equitable outcomes	Plan to create more equitable program outcomes	YES	10	out of 10
HMS data review with equity lens	Plan to review disaggregated data	YES	10	out of 10
Equity Factors Subtotal			60	out of 70
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA				
CoC Monitoring Score	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	YES	10	out of 10
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal			10	out of 10
TOTAL SCORE			218.5	out of 260
Weighted Rating Score			84	out of 100

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	<small>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</small>	\$ -
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		-
Amount of private funding		-
TOTAL PROJECT COST		\$ -
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	<small>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</small>	\$ -
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	<small>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</small>	\$ -
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year		0%

