

COORDINATED ENTRY SYSTEMS - HOMELESSNESS PREVENTION

MONTHLY METRIC ANALYSIS (MMA)

| | | MONTHLY N | IETRIC ANAL | (SIS (MMA) | |
|-------|---|------------------------------|-------------------|--|--------------------------|
| | AGENCY NAME: PROGRAM(S) INCLUDED: | MUTEH Inc MUTEH SSVF H | | | |
| | ANALYSIS DATE RANGE: | 8/1/23 | THRU | 8/31/23 | |
| | - PLACEMENTS | | | | |
| | I: PLACEMENTS VS. ADMISSIO | - | | | |
| • | e expected to place 95% of all project entr into. The discrepancy gives evidence of pe | • | | is gives information concerning placements from acticing CES process. | i the waitlist against |
| LACEM | ENTS FROM THE WAITLIST | 2 | | ADMISSIONS INTO PROGRAM | vi 2 |
| | 100.00% | of agency | admission u | tilized the system path correctly. | |
| | 95.00% | is the CES | standard for | Project Enrollment via CES. | |
| | | - | | SAME DAY on the waitlist for 1 business day. This allows fo | r HMIS reporting and CES |
| 0 | Added & Admitted, Same Day | | 0.00% | % of Entrants Added t Admitted into Agency Progra | |
| 2 | Placements from the WL | | | Admitted into Agency Frogra | ani, Same Day |
| | | PLACE | ON WAIT | LIST | |
| | Added & Admitted, | | | Placements from the WL, 2 | |
| | | | | | |
| | 0 0.5 | 1 Added & Adm Same Day | itted, Placements | 1.5 2 from the WL | 2.5 |
| | | | | | |

PART II - PRIORITIZATION

SECTION III: AVERAGE PRIORITY LIST POSITION

Based on this month's admissions into your agency's programs, the average list position of your client(s):

| Average Priority List Position - Assigned Clients: | - | | Longest Regional List Length: | 22 |
|---|------|----------|----------------------------------|----|
| POINTS OBTAINED | 0.00 | of 25.00 | | |

SECTION IV: EXPECTED DATE OF HOMELESSNESS

The following table displays the amount of HP Assessments and the clients' expected date of homelessness. Dates closer to imminent vacating of housing are prioritized higher than those expected to lose housing in later dates.

| | | # c | of expected da | tes | |
|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| PROGRAM(S) INCLUDED: | Within 45 days | Within 30 days | Within 14 days | Within 7 days | TOTAL ASSESSMENTS |
| MUTEH SSVF HP | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |

POINTS OBTAINED 25.00 of 25.00

NOTE: THE NEXT TWO (2) SECTIONS ARE FOR CoC AND PROGRAMMATIC PERSPECTIVE ONLY. THE DATA BELOW DOES NOT AFFECT YOUR OVERALL PROGRAM GRADE.

SECTION V: HP ASSESSMENT SCORE RANGES [NOT SCORED]

The following table displays the amount of HP Assessment scores falling within recommended ranges.

Scores 0-10 are typically recommended for Rapid Resolution/Diversion. Scores 11-13 usually recommended for One-time Assistance . Scores 14-17 are recommended for Short-term Assistance (up to 3months). Scores 18 or above are usually recommended for Medium-term Assistance (up to 6 months).

| | | | # of scores | | |
|----------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|------------|----------------------|
| PROGRAM(S) INCLUDED: | Scores 0-10 | Scores 11-13 | Scores 14-17 | Scores 18+ | TOTAL ASSESSMENTS |
| MUTEH SSVF HP | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |

.. .

SECTION VI: AVERAGE HP ASSESSMENT SCORE [NOT SCORED]

This number is compiled by the averaging the scores from those persons placed in your program's from regional housing waitlists. This number gives a picture of the average intervention needed by persons assessed in HP programs.

| , | | | Interven | tion Key |
|-------|----------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| | | | Scoring Range | Intervention |
| | 19 | | Score 0-10 | Rapid Resolution/Diversion |
| | | • | Score 11-13 | One-time Assistance |
| | | | Score 14-17 | Short-Term Assistance |
| | | | Score 18+ | Medium-Term Assistance |
| | | | | |
| 40.00 | POINTS (of 40) | | | |
| 10.00 | POINTS (of 10) | | | |
| | | | | |
| 0.00 | POINTS (of 25) | | | |
| 0.00 | 101113 (0123) | | | |
| | 10.00 | 19 40.00 POINTS (of 40) | 19 40.00 POINTS (of 40) 10.00 POINTS (of 10) | Interven Scoring Range Score 0-10 Score 11-13 Score 14-17 Score 18+ 40.00 POINTS (of 40) 10.00 POINTS (of 10) |

С

OVERALL PROGRAM GRADE

75.00 of 100.00 points

MONTHLY METRIC ANALYSIS NOTES:



MISSISSIPPI BALANCE OF STATE CONTINUUM OF CARE (INCLUDING SCORING & FORMULAS)

(INCLUDING SCORING & FORMULAS)

20 Points

n% x .40

SECTION I: PLACEMENTS VS. ADMISSIONS

| % of agency admissions utilized the | . To | Total # of Placements From the Waitlist | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------|---|---|--|--|--|
| system path correctly | | Total # of Admissions into Program | | | | |
| - | | | | | | |
| | PC | POINTS | | | | |
| VALUE = 40% of Overall Grade | FACTOR | MAX POINT VALUE | 1 | | | |

If>=95%

lf<95%

It is prohibited for any CoC-funded, SSVF-funded, or ESG-funded housing project to serve individuals and families experiencing homelessness or at imminent risk of homelessness without the household first going through the Coordinated Entry System and being added to the waitlist.

Per HUD's standard outlined in the CoC program rating and ranking process, 95% of project entries must originate from the Coordinated Entry System (waitlist/prioritization policy). The remaining 5% of project entries must be documented by need and verified with the CES Director.

SECTION II: ADDED TO HOUSING WAITLIST AND ADMITTED IN THE SAME DAY

The prescribed method for placing admissions into program is allowing the client to remain on the waitlist for 1 business day. This allows for HMIS reporting and CES compliance reporting.

| % of Entrants Added to the WL + Admitted, Same Day = | | Total # of Added WL + Admitted, Same Day Total # of Placements From the Waitlist | | | |
|---|--------------|---|--|--|--|
| | PO | INTS | | | |
| | FACTOR | MAX POINT VALUE | | | |
| | If n% 0-20% | 10 Points | | | |
| VALUE = 10% of Overall Grade | lf n% 21-40% | 8 Points | | | |
| | lf n% 41-60% | 6 Points | | | |
| | lf n% 61-80% | 4 Points | | | |
| | If n% 61-80% | 2 Points | | | |

SECTION III: AVERAGE PRIORITY LIST POSITION

Г

This metric is based on the current month's admissions from the waitlist into your agency's programs and the average list position of your client(s). This metric is separated by waitlist for individuals and waitlist for families. The calculations for points are based on whether the average position falls in the top tier (0-33rd percentage), middle tier (34th-65 percentage) or lower tier (66 percentage or more).

VALUE = 25% of Overall Grade

| Average List Position Percentage (ALP%) | _ | Average List Position for the Agency's Assigned Persons | — x 100 |
|--|---|---|---------|
| Average List Position Percentage (ALP %) | - | Longest Regional List Length for Persons Across the BoS | |

| POINTS | | | | | | |
|------------------|------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| FACTOR | TIER LEVEL | MAX POINT VALUE | | | | |
| If ALP% 0-33% | TOP | 25 Points | | | | |
| If ALP% 34-65% | MIDDLE | 16.66 Points | | | | |
| If ALP% 66% or > | LOWER | 8.33 Points | | | | |

SECTION IV: EXPECTED DATE OF HOMELESSNESS

Score ranges are dictated by the various intervention levels of the HP Assessment. Dates closer to imminent vacating of housing are prioritized higher than those expected to lose housing in later dates.

VALUE = 25% of Overall Grade

| DATE RANGES | POINT CALCULATION |
|----------------|---|
| WITHIN 45 DAYS | (# of 45d dates n / total assessments) x 0.0625 |
| WITHIN 30 DAYS | (# of 30d dates n / total assessments) x 0.125 |
| WITHIN 14 DAYS | (# of 14d dates n / total assessments) x 0.1875 |
| WITHIN 7 DAYS | (# of 7d dates n / total assessments) x 0.25 |

| Total Points for Section IV = | (45d Score Calculation) + (30d Score Calculation) + | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| | — | (14d Score Calculation) + (7d Score Calculation) | | |

SECTION V: HP ASSESSMENT SCORE RANGES

The table displays the amount of HP Assessment scores falling within recommended ranges.

Scores 0-10 are typically recommended for Rapid Resolution/Diversion. Scores 11-13 usually recommended for One-time Assistance . Scores 14-17 are recommended for Short-term Assistance (up to 3months). Scores 18 or above are usually recommended for Medium-term Assistance (up to 6 months).

| | | SCORE RANGE | MEANING OF VARIABLE | | | |
|-----------------------|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| | | SCORES 0-10 | (# of 0-10 scores n / total assessments) | | | |
| | | SCORES 11-13 | (# of 11-13 scores n / total assessments) | | | |
| | | SCORES 14-17 | (# of 14-17 scores n / total assessments) | | | |
| | | SCORES 18+ | (# of 18+ scores n / total assessments) | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Total Assessments for | _ | (# of 0-10 Score) + (# of 11-13 Score) + | | | | |
| Section V | — | (# of 14-17 Score) + (# of 18+ Score) | | | | |

SECTION VI: AVERAGE HP ASSESSMENT SCORE

Т

This number is compiled by the averaging the scores from those persons placed in your programs from regional housing waitlists. Points given correlate to the HP Assessment Score recommendations.

| Average HP | = - | Cumulative Sum of Agency's HP assessment scores |
|------------------|-----|---|
| Assessment Score | | Total # of assessments |

| INTERVENTIONS | | | |
|---------------|---------------------|--|--|
| SCORE RANGE | RECOMMENDATION | | |
| Score 0-10 | Rapid Resolution/ | | |
| 30016 0-10 | Diversion | | |
| Score 11-13 | One-time Assistance | | |
| Score 14-17 | Short-term | | |
| Score 14-17 | Assistance | | |
| Score 18+ | Medium-term | | |

CALCULATING OVERALL PROGRAM GRADE

Your grade is based on the total scoring compiled from the five (5) scoring criteria:

=

| Overall F | Program | Grade |
|-----------|---------|-------|
|-----------|---------|-------|

Section I + Section II + Section III + Section IV

| SCORING KEY | GRADE |
|-------------|-------|
| 100 - 90 | А |
| 89 - 80 | В |
| 79 - 70 | С |
| 69 - 60 | D |
| Below 60 | F |

QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR MMA? CONTACT US!

EMAIL: Reginald Glenn, CES Director rglenn@msbos.org Hannah Maharrey, CoC Director hmaharrey@msbos.org PHONE: (601) 624-4003 FAX: (601) 487-0984 WEBSITE: www.msbos.org/ces

