



**COORDINATED ENTRY SYSTEMS - HOMELESSNESS PREVENTION**  
**MONTHLY METRIC ANALYSIS (MMA)**

**AGENCY NAME:** SAFE inc.  
**PROGRAM(S) INCLUDED:** SAFE ESG - HP  
**ANALYSIS DATE RANGE:** 9/1/23 THRU 9/30/23

**PART I - PLACEMENTS**

**SECTION I: PLACEMENTS VS. ADMISSIONS**

Agencies are expected to place 95% of all project entries from regional waitlists in HMIS. This gives information concerning placements from the waitlist against admissions into. The discrepancy gives evidence of persons admitted in program without practicing CES process.

<b>PLACEMENTS FROM THE WAITLIST</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>ADMISSIONS INTO PROGRAM</b>	<b>2</b>
-------------------------------------	----------	--------------------------------	----------

<b>50.00%</b>
<b>95.00%</b>


of agency admission utilized the system path correctly.  
is the CES standard for Project Enrollment via CES.

**POINTS OBTAINED** 20.00 of 40.00

**SECTION II: ADDED TO HOUSING WAITLIST AND ADMITTED IN THE SAME DAY**

The prescribed method for placing admissions into program is allowing the client to remain on the waitlist for 1 business day. This allows for HMIS reporting and CES compliance reporting.

<b>0</b>	<b>Added &amp; Admitted, Same Day</b>	<b>0.00%</b> <b>% of Entrants Added to WL + Admitted into Agency Program, Same Day</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>Placements from the WL</b>	



**POINTS OBTAINED** 10.00 of 10.00

**PART II - PRIORITIZATION**

**SECTION III: AVERAGE PRIORITY LIST POSITION**

Based on this month's admissions into your agency's programs, the average list position of your client(s):

<b>Average Priority List Position - Assigned Clients:</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>Longest Regional List Length:</b>	<b>24</b>
---	----------	--------------------------------------	-----------

**POINTS OBTAINED** 25.00 of 25.00

**SECTION IV: EXPECTED DATE OF HOMELESSNESS**

The following table displays the amount of HP Assessments and the clients' expected date of homelessness. Dates closer to imminent vacating of housing are prioritized higher than those expected to lose housing in later dates.

PROGRAM(S) INCLUDED:	# of expected dates				TOTAL ASSESSMENTS
	Within 45 days	Within 30 days	Within 14 days	Within 7 days	
SAFE ESG - HP	0	0	0	1	1

**POINTS OBTAINED 25.00 of 25.00**

**NOTE: THE NEXT TWO (2) SECTIONS ARE FOR CoC AND PROGRAMMATIC PERSPECTIVE ONLY.  
THE DATA BELOW DOES NOT AFFECT YOUR OVERALL PROGRAM GRADE.**

**SECTION V: HP ASSESSMENT SCORE RANGES [NOT SCORED]**

The following table displays the amount of HP Assessment scores falling within recommended ranges.

Scores 0-10 are typically recommended for Rapid Resolution/Diversion. Scores 11-13 usually recommended for One-time Assistance. Scores 14-17 are recommended for Short-term Assistance (up to 3months). Scores 18 or above are usually recommended for Medium-term Assistance (up to 6 months).

PROGRAM(S) INCLUDED:	# of scores				TOTAL ASSESSMENTS
	Scores 0-10	Scores 11-13	Scores 14-17	Scores 18+	
SAFE ESG - HP	0	0	0	1	1

**SECTION VI: AVERAGE HP ASSESSMENT SCORE [NOT SCORED]**

This number is compiled by the averaging the scores from those persons placed in your program's from regional housing waitlists. This number gives a picture of the average intervention needed by persons assessed in HP programs.

**CALCULATED AVERAGE: 22**

**Intervention Key**

Scoring Range	Intervention
Score 0-10	Rapid Resolution/Diversion
Score 11-13	One-time Assistance
Score 14-17	Short-Term Assistance
Score 18+	Medium-Term Assistance

**SUMMARY OF METRIC ANALYSIS**

**PART I - PLACEMENT POINTS**

SECTION I: CES PROJECT ENROLLMENT RATE:	20.00	POINTS (of 40)
SECTION II: PLACEMENT + ADMISSION, SAME	10.00	POINTS (of 10)

**PART II - PRIORITIZATION POINTS**

SECTION III: AVERAGE LIST POSITION	25.00	POINTS (of 25)
SECTION IV: EXPECTED DATE OF HOMELESSNESS:	25.00	POINTS (of 25)

**OVERALL PROGRAM GRADE 80.00 B**  
of 100.00 points

**MONTHLY METRIC ANALYSIS NOTES:**

The following client was admitted into program without being placed on a waitlist: 144201125



**MISSISSIPPI HP MMA INFORMATION KEY**  
BALANCE OF STATE CONTINUUM OF CARE  
(INCLUDING SCORING & FORMULAS)

**SECTION I: PLACEMENTS VS. ADMISSIONS**

$$\frac{\text{\% of agency admissions utilized the system path correctly}}{\text{Total \# of Placements From the Waitlist}} = \frac{\text{Total \# of Placements From the Waitlist}}{\text{Total \# of Admissions into Program}}$$

**VALUE = 40% of Overall Grade**

POINTS	
FACTOR	MAX POINT VALUE
If >= 95%	20 Points
If < 95%	n% x .40

It is prohibited for any CoC-funded, SSVF-funded, or ESG-funded housing project to serve individuals and families experiencing homelessness or at imminent risk of homelessness without the household first going through the Coordinated Entry System and being added to the waitlist.

Per HUD's standard outlined in the CoC program rating and ranking process, 95% of project entries must originate from the Coordinated Entry System (waitlist/prioritization policy). The remaining 5% of project entries must be documented by need and verified with the CES Director.

**SECTION II: ADDED TO HOUSING WAITLIST AND ADMITTED IN THE SAME DAY**

The prescribed method for placing admissions into program is allowing the client to remain on the waitlist for 1 business day. This allows for HMIS reporting and CES compliance reporting.

$$\frac{\text{\% of Entrants Added to the WL + Admitted, Same Day}}{\text{Total \# of Added WL + Admitted, Same Day}} = \frac{\text{Total \# of Added WL + Admitted, Same Day}}{\text{Total \# of Placements From the Waitlist}}$$

**VALUE = 10% of Overall Grade**

POINTS	
FACTOR	MAX POINT VALUE
If n% 0-20%	10 Points
If n% 21-40%	8 Points
If n% 41-60%	6 Points
If n% 61-80%	4 Points
If n% 61-80%	2 Points

**SECTION III: AVERAGE PRIORITY LIST POSITION**

This metric is based on the current month's admissions from the waitlist into your agency's programs and the average list position of your client(s). This metric is separated by waitlist for individuals and waitlist for families. The calculations for points are based on whether the average position falls in the top tier (0-33rd percentage), middle tier (34th-65 percentage) or lower tier (66 percentage or more).

**VALUE = 25% of Overall Grade**

$$\text{Average List Position Percentage (ALP\%)} = \frac{\text{Average List Position for the Agency's Assigned Persons}}{\text{Longest Regional List Length for Persons Across the BoS}} \times 100$$

POINTS		
FACTOR	TIER LEVEL	MAX POINT VALUE
If ALP% 0-33%	TOP	25 Points
If ALP% 34-65%	MIDDLE	16.66 Points
If ALP% 66% or >	LOWER	8.33 Points

**SECTION IV: EXPECTED DATE OF HOMELESSNESS**

Score ranges are dictated by the various intervention levels of the HP Assessment. Dates closer to imminent vacating of housing are prioritized higher than those expected to lose housing in later dates.

**VALUE = 25% of Overall Grade**

DATE RANGES	POINT CALCULATION
WITHIN 45 DAYS	(# of 45d dates n / total assessments) x 0.0625
WITHIN 30 DAYS	(# of 30d dates n / total assessments) x 0.125
WITHIN 14 DAYS	(# of 14d dates n / total assessments) x 0.1875
WITHIN 7 DAYS	(# of 7d dates n / total assessments) x 0.25

$$\text{Total Points for Section IV} = (45d \text{ Score Calculation}) + (30d \text{ Score Calculation}) + (14d \text{ Score Calculation}) + (7d \text{ Score Calculation})$$

**SECTION V: HP ASSESSMENT SCORE RANGES**

The table displays the amount of HP Assessment scores falling within recommended ranges.

Scores 0-10 are typically recommended for Rapid Resolution/Diversion. Scores 11-13 usually recommended for One-time Assistance . Scores 14-17 are recommended for Short-term Assistance (up to 3months). Scores 18 or above are usually recommended for Medium-term Assistance (up to 6 months).

SCORE RANGE	MEANING OF VARIABLE
SCORES 0-10	(# of 0-10 scores n / total assessments)
SCORES 11-13	(# of 11-13 scores n / total assessments)
SCORES 14-17	(# of 14-17 scores n / total assessments)
SCORES 18+	(# of 18+ scores n / total assessments)

Total Assessments for Section V	=	( # of 0-10 Score ) + ( # of 11-13 Score ) + ( # of 14-17 Score ) + ( # of 18+ Score)
---------------------------------	---	---

**SECTION VI: AVERAGE HP ASSESSMENT SCORE**

This number is compiled by the averaging the scores from those persons placed in your programs from regional housing waitlists. Points given correlate to the HP Assessment Score recommendations.

Average HP Assessment Score	=	Cumulative Sum of Agency's HP assessment scores Total # of assessments
-----------------------------	---	---

**INTERVENTIONS**

SCORE RANGE	RECOMMENDATION
Score 0-10	Rapid Resolution/ Diversion
Score 11-13	One-time Assistance
Score 14-17	Short-term Assistance
Score 18+	Medium-term

**CALCULATING OVERALL PROGRAM GRADE**

Your grade is based on the total scoring compiled from the five (5) scoring criteria:

Overall Program Grade	=	Section I + Section II + Section III + Section IV
-----------------------	---	---

SCORING KEY	GRADE
100 - 90	A
89 - 80	B
79 - 70	C
69 - 60	D
Below 60	F

**QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR MMA? CONTACT US!**

**EMAIL:** Reginald Glenn, CES Director

[rglenn@msbos.org](mailto:rglenn@msbos.org)

**Hannah Maharrey, CoC Director**

[hmaharrey@msbos.org](mailto:hmaharrey@msbos.org)

**PHONE:** (601) 624-4003

**FAX:** (601) 487-0984

**WEBSITE:** [www.msbos.org/ces](http://www.msbos.org/ces)

