

COORDINATED ENTRY SYSTEMS - HOMELESSNESS PREVENTION MONTHLY METRIC ANALYSIS (MMA)

AGENCY NAME: Oak Arbor
PROGRAM(S) INCLUDED: Oak Arbor SSVF HP

ANALYSIS DATE RANGE: 10/1/23 THRU 10/31/23

PART I - PLACEMENTS

SECTION I: PLACEMENTS VS. ADMISSIONS

Agencies are expected to place 95% of all project entries from regional waitlists in HMIS. This gives information concerning placements from the waitlist against admissions into. The discrepancy gives evidence of persons admitted in program without practicing CES process.

PLACEMENTS FROM THE WAITLIST

0

ADMISSIONS INTO PROGRAM

2

0.00% 95.00% of agency admission utilized the system path correctly. is the CES standard for Project Enrollment via CES.

POINTS OBTAINED 0.00

of 40.00

SECTION II: ADDED TO HOUSING WAITLIST AND ADMITTED IN THE SAME DAY

The prescribed method for placing admissions into program is allowing the client to remain on the waitlist for 1 business day. This allows for HMIS reporting and CES compliance reporting.

O Added & Admitted,
Same Day
O Placements from the WL

% of Entrants Added to WL +
Admitted into Agency Program, Same Day



POINTS OBTAINED 0.00 of 10.00

PART II - PRIORITIZATION

SECTION III: AVERAGE PRIORITY LIST POSITION

Based on this month's admissions into your agency's programs, the average list position of your client(s):

Average Priority List Position Assigned Clients:

List Length:

21

POINTS OBTAINED 0.00 of 25.00

CES HP - Monthly Metric Analysis 1

SECTION IV: EXPECTED DATE OF HOMELESSNESS

The following table displays the amount of HP Assessments and the clients' expected date of homelessness. Dates closer to imminent vacating of housing are prioritized higher than those expected to lose housing in later dates.

of expected dates

| | | | onpette a | | |
|----------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| PROGRAM(S) INCLUDED: | Within 45 days | Within 30 days | Within 14 days | Within 7 days | TOTAL ASSESSMENTS |
| Oak Arbor SSVF HP | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

POINTS OBTAINED 0.00 of 25.00

NOTE: THE NEXT TWO (2) SECTIONS ARE FOR COC AND PROGRAMMATIC PERSPECTIVE ONLY.

THE DATA BELOW DOES NOT AFFECT YOUR OVERALL PROGRAM GRADE.

SECTION V: HP ASSESSMENT SCORE RANGES [NOT SCORED]

The following table displays the amount of HP Assessment scores falling within recommended ranges.

Scores 0-10 are typically recommended for Rapid Resolution/Diversion. Scores 11-13 usually recommended for One-time Assistance . Scores 14-17 are recommended for Short-term Assistance (up to 3months). Scores 18 or above are usually recommended for Medium-term Assistance (up to 6 months).

of scores

| PROGRAM(S) INCLUDED: | Scores 0-10 | Scores 11-13 | Scores 14-17 | Scores 18+ | TOTAL ASSESSMEN |
|----------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|------------|-----------------|
| Oak Arbor SSVF HP | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

SECTION VI: AVERAGE HP ASSESSMENT SCORE [NOT SCORED]

This number is compiled by the averaging the scores from those persons placed in your program's from regional housing waitlists. This number gives a picture of the average intervention needed by persons assessed in HP programs.

CALCULATED AVERAGE: -

Intervention Key

| Scoring Range | Intervention |
|---------------|-------------------------------|
| Score 0-10 | Rapid Resolution/Diversion |
| Score 11-13 | One-time Assistance |
| Score 14-17 | Short-Term |
| 3COTE 14-17 | Assistance |
| Score 18+ | Medium-Term |
| Score 10+ | Assistance |

SUMMARY OF METRIC ANALYSIS

| PART I - PLACEMENT POINTS | | |
|--|----------|----------------|
| SECTION I: CES PROJECT ENROLLMENT RATE: | 0.00 | POINTS (of 40) |
| SECTION II: PLACEMENT + ADMISSION, SAME | 0.00 | POINTS (of 10) |
| | | |
| PART II - PRIORITIZATION POINTS | | |
| SECTION III: AVERAGE LIST POSITION | 0.00 | POINTS (of 25) |
| SECTION IV: EXPECTED DATE OF HOMELESSNESS: | 0.00 | POINTS (of 25) |
| OVERALL PROGRAM GRADE | 0.00 | |
| | | F |
| of 1 | 00.00 pc | oints |

MONTHLY METRIC ANALYSIS NOTES:

The following clients were backdated onto the WL, but added into program: 102055825. This client was not placed from the WL: 144526125

Contact Reginald Glenn for more information regarding the CES process and a plan for CES MMA improvement.

CES HP - Monthly Metric Analysis 2



(INCLUDING SCORING & FORMULAS)

SECTION I: PLACEMENTS VS. ADMISSIONS

| % of agency admissions utilized the | Total # of Placements From the Waitlist |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| system path correctly | Total # of Admissions into Program |

VALUE = 40% of Overall Grade

| POINTS | | |
|---------|-----------------|--|
| FACTOR | MAX POINT VALUE | |
| If>=95% | 20 Points | |
| If<95% | n% x .40 | |

It is prohibited for any CoC-funded, SSVF-funded, or ESG-funded housing project to serve individuals and families experiencing homelessness or at imminent risk of homelessness without the household first going through the Coordinated Entry System and being added to the waitlist.

Per HUD's standard outlined in the CoC program rating and ranking process, 95% of project entries must originate from the Coordinated Entry System (waitlist/prioritization policy). The remaining 5% of project entries must be documented by need and verified with the CES Director.

SECTION II: ADDED TO HOUSING WAITLIST AND ADMITTED IN THE SAME DAY

The prescribed method for placing admissions into program is allowing the client to remain on the waitlist for 1 business day. This allows for HMIS reporting and CES compliance reporting.

| | = | Total # of Added WL + Admitted, Same Day |
|----------------------|---|--|
| + Admitted, Same Day | | Total # of Placements From the Waitlist |

VALUE = 10% of Overall Grade

| POINTS | | |
|--------------|-----------------|--|
| FACTOR | MAX POINT VALUE | |
| If n% 0-20% | 10 Points | |
| If n% 21-40% | 8 Points | |
| If n% 41-60% | 6 Points | |
| If n% 61-80% | 4 Points | |
| If n% 61-80% | 2 Points | |

SECTION III: AVERAGE PRIORITY LIST POSITION

This metric is based on the current month's admissions from the waitlist into your agency's programs and the average list position of your client(s). This metric is separated by waitlist for individuals and waitlist for families. The calculations for points are based on whether the average position falls in the top tier (0-33rd percentage), middle tier (34th-65 percentage) or lower tier (66 percentage or more).

VALUE = 25% of Overall Grade

| Average List Position Percentage (ALP%) | _ | Average List Position for the Agency's Assigned Persons | v 100 |
|---|---|---|---------|
| Average List Position Percentage (ALP%) | _ | Longest Regional List Length for Persons Across the BoS | — X 100 |

| POINTS | | | | |
|------------------|------------|-----------------|--|--|
| FACTOR | TIER LEVEL | MAX POINT VALUE | | |
| If ALP% 0-33% | TOP | 25 Points | | |
| If ALP% 34-65% | MIDDLE | 16.66 Points | | |
| If ALP% 66% or > | LOWER | 8.33 Points | | |

SECTION IV: EXPECTED DATE OF HOMELESSNESS

Score ranges are dictated by the various intervention levels of the HP Assessment. Dates closer to imminent vacating of housing are prioritized higher than those expected to lose housing in later dates.

VALUE = 25% of Overall Grade

| DATE RANGES | POINT CALCULATION |
|----------------|---|
| WITHIN 45 DAYS | (# of 45d dates n / total assessments) x 0.0625 |
| WITHIN 30 DAYS | (# of 30d dates n / total assessments) x 0.125 |
| WITHIN 14 DAYS | (# of 14d dates n / total assessments) x 0.1875 |
| WITHIN 7 DAYS | (# of 7d dates n / total assessments) x 0.25 |

| Total Points for Section IV | ıv — | (45d Score Calculation) + (30d Score Calculation) + |
|-----------------------------|------|---|
| Total Folits for Section IV | _ | (14d Score Calculation) + (7d Score Calculation) |

3 BoS CES HP - MMA Information Key

SECTION V: HP ASSESSMENT SCORE RANGES

The table displays the amount of HP Assessment scores falling within recommended ranges.

Scores 0-10 are typically recommended for Rapid Resolution/Diversion. Scores 11-13 usually recommended for One-time Assistance . Scores 14-17 are recommended for Short-term Assistance (up to 3months). Scores 18 or above are usually recommended for Medium-term Assistance (up to 6 months).

| SCORE RANGE | MEANING OF VARIABLE | |
|--------------|---|--|
| SCORES 0-10 | (# of 0-10 scores n / total assessments) | |
| SCORES 11-13 | (# of 11-13 scores n / total assessments) | |
| SCORES 14-17 | (# of 14-17 scores n / total assessments) | |
| SCORES 18+ | (# of 18+ scores n / total assessments) | |

| Total Assessments for | (# of 0-10 Score) + (# of 11-13 Score) + |
|-----------------------|---|
| Section V | (# of 14-17 Score) + (# of 18+ Score) |

SECTION VI: AVERAGE HP ASSESSMENT SCORE

This number is compiled by the averaging the scores from those persons placed in your programs from regional housing waitlists. Points given correlate to the HP Assessment Score recommendations.

| Average HP | Cumulative Sum of Agency's HP assessment scores |
|------------------|---|
| Assessment Score | Total # of assessments |

INTERVENTIONS

| SCORE RANGE | RECOMMENDATION |
|-------------|---------------------|
| Score 0-10 | Rapid Resolution/ |
| 3core 0-10 | Diversion |
| Score 11-13 | One-time Assistance |
| Score 14-17 | Short-term |
| Score 14-17 | Assistance |
| Score 18+ | Medium-term |

CALCULATING OVERALL PROGRAM GRADE

Your grade is based on the total scoring compiled from the five (5) scoring criteria:

Overall Program Grade Section I + Section II + Section IV

| SCORING KEY | GRADE |
|-------------|-------|
| 100 - 90 | A |
| 89 - 80 | В |
| 79 - 70 | С |
| 69 - 60 | D |
| Below 60 | F |

QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR MMA? CONTACT US!

PHONE: (601) 624-4003 WEBSITE: www.msbos.org/ces **EMAIL: Reginald Glenn, CES Director**

FAX: (601) 487-0984

rglenn@msbos.org Hannah Maharrey, CoC Director

hmaharrey@msbos.org

MISSISSIPPI CONTINUUM OF CARE