MISSISSIPPI
BALANCE OF STATE
CONTINUUM OF CARE

COORDINATED ENTRY SYSTEMS - RAPID REHOUSING
## MONTHLY METRIC ANALYSIS (MMA)

AGENCY NAME: **Oak Arbor**

PROGRAM(S) INCLUDED: Oak Arbor SSVF - RRH

ANALYSIS DATE RANGE: **12/1/23** **THRU** **12/31/23**

PART I - PLACEMENTS

SECTION I: PLACEMENTS VS. ADMISSIONS

Agencies are expected to place 95% of all project entries from regional waitlists in HMIS. This gives information concerning placements from the waitlist against admissions into. The discrepancy gives evidence of persons admitted in program without practicing CES process.

PLACEMENTS FROM THE WAITLIST	0		ADMISSIONS INTO PROGRAM	5

0.00%	of agency admission utilized the system path correctly.
95.00%	is the CES standard for Project Enrollment via CES.

POINTS OBTAINED **0.00** **of 40.00**

### SECTION II: ADDED TO HOUSING WAITLIST AND ADMITTED IN THE SAME DAY

The prescribed method for placing admissions into program is allowing the client to remain on the waitlist for 1 business day. This allows for HMIS reporting and CES compliance reporting.

0	Added & Admitted, Same Day
0	Placements from the WL

0.00%	% of Entrants Added to WL + Admitted into Agency Program, Same Day

PLACED ON WAITLIST

POINTS OBTAINED **0.00** **of 10.00**

PART II - PRIORITIZATION

SECTION III: AVERAGE PRIORITY LIST POSITION

Based on this month's admissions into your agency's programs, the average list position of your client(s):

Average Priority List Position - Assigned Individual Clients:	**-**		**Longest Regional List Length for Individuals:**	**49**
Average Priority List Position Assigned Family Clients:	**-**		**Longest Regional List Length for Families:**	**14**

POINTS OBTAINED **0.00** **of 20.00**

SECTION IV: VI-SPDAT SCORE RANGES

The following table displays the amount of VI-SPDAT scores falling within recommended ranges.

Scores 0-3 usually recommended for Rapid Resolution/Diversion. Scores 4-7 usually recommended for Rapid Rehousing (RRH). Scores 8 or above are usually recommended for Rapid Rehousing (RRH) or Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH).

	# of scores			
PROGRAM(S) INCLUDED:	**Scores 0-3**	**Scores 4-7**	**Scores 8+**	**TOTAL ASSESSMENT SCORES**
Oak Arbor SSVF - RRH	0	0	0	0

POINTS OBTAINED   **0.00**   of 15.00

SECTION V: AVERAGE VI-SPDAT SCORE

This number is compiled by the averaging the scores from those persons placed in your programs from regional housing waitlists. Points given correlate to the VI-SPDAT Score recommendations.

CALCULATED AVERAGE:	**0**

Points Key

Scoring Range	Points for Range
Score 0-3	5
Score 4-7	10
Score 8+	15

POINTS OBTAINED   **0.00**   of 15.00

SECTION VI: SCORE DEDUCTIONS

This section includes point penalties for announced measures regarding the Coordinated Entry process. Any penalties incurred will reduce your overall score.

Placed any Non-CES assigned client?	**NO**

All RRH and PSH clients should be assigned via CoC CES staff. This EXCLUDES HIV/AIDS+, DV, and Veteran service programs.
If the question is answered YES, there is a 10 POINT DEDUCTION.

POINTS DEDUCTED   **0.00**

SUMMARY OF METRIC ANALYSIS

PART I - PLACEMENT POINTS

SECTION I: CES PROJECT ENROLLMENT RATE:	0.00	POINTS (of 40)
SECTION II: PLACEMENT + ADMISSION, SAME DAY	0.00	POINTS (of 10)

PART II - PRIORITIZATION POINTS

SECTION III: AVERAGE LIST POSITION	0.00	POINTS (of 20)
SECTION IV: VI-SPDAT SCORE RANGE:	0.00	POINTS (of 15)
SECTION V: AVERAGE VI-SPDAT SCORE	0.00	POINTS (of 15)
SECTION VI: SCORE DEDUCTIONS	0.00	POINTS
OVERALL PROGRAM GRADE	**0.00**	**F**

of 100.00 points

MONTHLY METRIC ANALYSIS NOTES:
CT admitted into program were backdated onto the WL: 145145125
CTs admitted into program without being placed onto the WL: 129342125; 144931125; 144933125; 101762025

The appropriate channel is to have CTs on the WL in real-time before moving into program. Because of the issue, this month's admissions cannot be evaluated for accurate CES participation. Please contact Reginald Glenn (rglenn@msbos.org) for more information.

SECTION I: PLACEMENTS VS. ADMISSIONS

% of agency admissions utilized the system path correctly	=	Total # of Placements From the Waitlist
		Total # of Admissions into Program

VALUE = 40% of Overall Grade

POINTS	
FACTOR	MAX POINT VALUE
If >= 95%	20 Points
If < 95%	n% x .40

It is prohibited for any CoC-funded, SSVF-funded, or ESG-funded housing project to serve individuals and families experiencing homelessness or at imminent risk of homelessness without the household first going through the Coordinated Entry System and being added to the waitlist.

Per HUD's standard outlined in the CoC program rating and ranking process, 95% of project entries must originate from the Coordinated Entry System (waitlist/prioritization policy). The remaining 5% of project entries must be documented by need and verified with the CES Director.

SECTION II: ADDED TO HOUSING WAITLIST AND ADMITTED IN THE SAME DAY

The prescribed method for placing admissions into program is allowing the client to remain on the waitlist for 1 business day. This allows for HMIS reporting and CES compliance reporting.

% of Entrants Added to the WL + Admitted, Same Day	=	Total # of Added WL + Admitted, Same Day
		Total # of Placements From the Waitlist

VALUE = 10% of Overall Grade

POINTS	
FACTOR	MAX POINT VALUE
If n% 0-20%	10 Points
If n% 21-40%	8 Points
If n% 41-60%	6 Points
If n% 61-80%	4 Points
If n% 61-80%	2 Points

SECTION III: AVERAGE PRIORITY LIST POSITION

This metric is based on the current month's admissions from the waitlist into your agency's programs and the average list position of your client(s). This metric is separated by waitlist for individuals and waitlist for families. The calculations for points are based on whether the average position falls in the top tier (0-33rd percentage), middle tier (34th-65 percentage) or lower tier (66 percentage or more).

VALUE = 20% of Overall Grade

Average List Position Percentage - IND (ALP% - I)	=	Average List Position for the Agency's Assigned Individuals	x 100
		Longest Regional List Length for Indiviuals Across the BoS	

POINTS		
FACTOR	TIER LEVEL	MAX POINT VALUE
If ALP% 0-33%	TOP	20 Points
If ALP% 34-65%	MIDDLE	13.4 Points
If ALP% 66% or >	LOWER	6.7 Points

Average List Position Percentage - FAM (ALP% - F)	=	Average List Position for the Agency's Assigned Families	x 100
		Longest Regional List Length for Families Across the BoS	

POINTS		
FACTOR	TIER LEVEL	MAX POINT VALUE
If ALP% 0-33%	TOP	20 Points
If ALP% 34-65%	MIDDLE	13.4 Points
If ALP% 66% or >	LOWER	6.7 Points

PLANNING FOR LACK OF SCORES OR CUMULATING BOTH SCORES

If there is an instance where an agency has either served all individuals preidetermined formulas will calculate the factors to ensure that a lack clients from either lists does not affect the scoring. Additionally, if the agency has clients in both individuals and families served, the overall points total will be averaged based on the two (2) score categories.

Total Points for Section III	**=**	$\dfrac{\text{(ALP\% - IND points) + (ALP\% - FAM points)}}{2}$

SECTION IV: VI-SPDAT SCORE RANGES

Score ranges are dictated by the vairous intervention levels of the VI-SPDAT. Each score is classified in its range. Scores 0-3 usually recommended for Rapid Resolution/Diversion. Scores 4-7 usually recommended for Rapid Rehousing (RRH). Scores 8 or above are usually recommended for Rapid Rehousing (RRH) or Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH).

As each score is distributed the number of scores in each category is multiplied by the percentage points assigned to each category. The higher the VI-SPDAT score, the closer you move towards the maximum point value (20 points).

VALUE = 15% of Overall Grade

SCORE RANGE	POINT CALCULATION
SCORES 0-3	(# of 0-3 scores n / total assessments) x 0.005
SCORES 4-7	(# of 4-7 scores n / total assessments) x 0.10
SCORES 8+	(# of 8+ scores n / total assessments) x 0.15

Total Points for Section IV	**=**	**(0-3 Score Calculation) + (4-7 Score Calculation) + (8+ Score Calculation)**

SECTION V: AVERAGE VI-SPDAT SCORE

This number is compiled by the averaging the scores from those persons placed in your programs from regional housing waitlists. Points given correlate to the VI-SPDAT Score recommendations.

VALUE = 15% of Overall Grade

Average VI-SPDAT Score	**=**	$\dfrac{\textbf{Culumative Sum of Agency's VI-SPDAT scores}}{\textbf{Total \# of assessments}}$

COMPARISON KEY

SCORE RANGE	POINTS FOR RANGE
Score 0-3	5
Score 4-7	10
Score 8+	15

CALCULATING OVERALL PROGRAM GRADE

Your grade is based on the total scoring compiled from the five (5) scoring criteria:

Overall Program Grade	**=**	**Section I + Section II + Section III + Section IV + Section V**

SCORING KEY	GRADE
100 - 90	**A**
89 - 80	**B**
79 - 70	**C**
69 - 60	**D**
Below 60	**F**

QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR MMA? CONTACT US!

EMAIL: **Reginald Glenn, CES Director**
rglenn@msbos.org
Hannah Maharrey, CoC Director
hmaharrey@msbos.org

PHONE: (601) 624-4003
FAX: (601) 487-0984

WEBSITE: www.msbos.org/ces

MISSISSIPPI
BALANCE OF STATE
CONTINUUM OF CARE