



CoC SCORING TOOL - FY 2024 NEW PROJECTS

Project Name: Green Meadows Expansion
 Organization Name: AIDS Services Coalition (ASC)
 Project Type: PH-PSH

SECTION I: COMMUNITY NEEDS

SCORING FACTORS	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
1. Unmet Needs			
Will the project address an unmet need by serving an underserved population, providing services that are less available, or serving an underserved geography of the CoC? [For DV projects] Can the projects quantify need?	YES	6	out of 6
2. CoC Participation & Community Activities			
Has the applicant attended at least 75% of CoC meetings from September 2023 to August 2024 (12 months prior to release of NOFA) or otherwise supported community activities to end homelessness?	YES	5	out of 5
OR			
Supported Community Activities to End Homelessness	-	0	out of 5
3. Community Need			
Priority Project Type: Chronically Homeless, Permanent Supportive Housing	YES	5	out of 5
Priority Project Design:			
Incorporates Feedback	-	0	out of 1
Awareness of local resources	YES	1	out of 1
Behavioral Health Services budgeting/MOU	YES	1	out of 1
Case Management Ratio	YES	1	out of 1
Annual CoC Training Attendance	YES	1	out of 1
4. Leveraging Housing and Healthcare Resources			
Evidence of Housing Leverage	enter value -->	0	out of 6
Evidence of Healthcare Resource Leverage	enter value -->	6	out of 6
Community Needs - Subtotal		26	out of 33

SECTION II: IMPROVING SYSTEM PERFORMANCE

SCORING FACTORS	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
5. Population Served	enter value -->	10	out of 10
6. Program Design	enter value -->	8	out of 10
7. Outreach		5	out of 5
8. System Performance Improvement: Reduce Length of Time People are Homeless.	enter value -->	10	out of 10
9. System Performance Improvement: Increase Exits to/Maintenance of Permanent Housing	enter value -->	10	out of 10
10. System Performance Improvements: Limits Returns to Homelessness	enter value -->	10	out of 10
11. Equity	5 strategies (at least)	5	out of 5
12. Client Participation in Project Design			
Engaging Unhoused and Formerly unhoused participants	3 strategies (at least)	3	out of 4
Participant Feedback	YES	3	out of 3
OR			
[For DV Projects Only]: Policy Development with DV Survivors	YES	2	out of 3
Improving System Performance - Subtotal		66	out of 67

SCORING FACTORS	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
SECTION III: APPLICANT CAPACITY AND COMPLIANCE			
13. Program Capacity	YES	5	out of 5
14. Low-Barrier and Housing First			
Coordinated Entry Referrals regardless of circumstances	YES	5	out of 5
Preventing Involuntary Project Exit	YES	5	out of 5
15. Applicant Compliance	YES	5	out of 5
Applicant Capacity and Compliance - Subtotal		20	out of 20
TOTAL SCORE		112	out of 120
Percentage Score		93.33%	